

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_124207
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Jelly Cake and its Sensory Evaluation by using Millet and Amla
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Since millets and Amla are highly nutritious and loaded with number of health potentialities, so the topic chosen by the researcher is scientific .This is an innovative step to develop cake by utilisation of the above said ingredients.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Needs revision .It should be to the point.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. The introduction of the manuscript can be revised by inclusion of health benefits of the specific ingredients. 2.Objectives of the research require clarity 3. Amount of ingredients used, types of ingredients used, time of baking should be clearly spelled. 4.Methodology as well as results and discussion should be submitted meticulously	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	1.Minimum 25 references should be there 2.Very old references are used ,it should not be more than 10 years	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Better language need to be used	
Optional/General comments	Major revision is required	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chandrashree Lenka
Department, University & Country	Rama Devi Women's University, India