

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123110
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VITRO AND IN SILICO ANALYSIS OF AMENTOFLAVONE AGAINST <i>Candida albicans</i> WITH A COMPETITIVE ACTION IN RELATION TO AMPHOTERICIN B
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Yes, it is an interesting and up-to-date topic. overall, it is a useful article. I have announced my comments below.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The "title" of the article is appropriate.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive and complete, but in my opinion, it is better to change the following part a little. "In Silico Analysis" are discussed in the article, but nothing is said here.</p> <p>Substances isolated from plants with antifungal capacity have received considerable attention from the pharmaceutical sector, due to the phenomenon of resistance and toxicity presented by these microorganisms.</p> <p>In general, it will be better if it is slightly modified.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Research background is not used in "Introduction". Certainly, studies and researches have been conducted in this field and each of them have obtained results, none of which have been mentioned here. I suggest you use the studies and experiences of other researchers and bring them here. Then tell about your work and mention the difference between your work and other researches and explain the importance of your work.</p> <p>It is better to explain a little more about the importance of using natural structures in the development of new drugs, as well as the synergy of experimental methods and molecular docking.</p> <p>The arrangement of writing the materials in the "material and methods" is appropriate.</p> <p>In "results and discussion", it is better to say the "Natural products derived from plants...." in the introduction and summarize it here and explain the results of the work more.</p> <p>In "conclusion", it is better to include information about limitations and future directions. The conclusion is very brief.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Altogether, the sentences are appropriate and scientifically a good work has been done.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, they are new references. But as it was said in the previous section, it is better to add the sources related to the relevant research (research background).</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The sentences are appropriate.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	According to the experimental work, it is better to include figures of the work steps. There are only figures related to the calculation part. The main idea is good and my opinion is positive, and I choose the second option, Minor Revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saeideh Hosseini
Department, University & Country	Iran