

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_123823
Title of the Manuscript:	Prescription pattern of corticosteroid among patients presented at community pharmacies in Lahore, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript was well explained about the various types of corticosteroids prescribed inpatients and indications they are used for. The actual use of corticosteroids in improving the therapy goals were not mentioned in the discussion. The information regarding the benefits of using corticosteroids has to be mentioned.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title was suitable to the manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract was well and good	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript was well explained about types of corticosteroids used in community and it also given good information about which type of corticosteroid was widely using in the community. The relation between the corticosteroid uses and patient therapeutic outcome was not well explained	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	All the reference are appropriate and also latest references were included.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language quality was good	
Optional/General comments	An overall, beyond some of very few lacking information on WHO prescribing guidelines, the overall quality of work was very good. On adding few points as I mentioned above the manuscript was worthy for publication and I strongly recommend for it.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Lakshmi
Department, University & Country	Sri Padmavathi Mahila Visvavidyalayam (Women's University), India