

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_123823
Title of the Manuscript:	Prescription pattern of corticosteroid among patients presented at community pharmacies in Lahore, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This write-up is carrying message for human welfare. We should aware of unnecessary drugs. In this regard, this paper is the most effective I think.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title should be changed slightly, use some more effective words.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is okay.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsection in 'Discussion' section could be more applicable.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Based on scientific field, this idea is exceptional.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add the attached pdfs as a reference (if required).	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable for this journal.	
Optional/General comments	Write some extra sentences above Tables 1-3; subsections in Discussion part; Author could use note instead of pattern (eg. From prescription pattern to prescription note). Add questionnaires at the end as Appendix.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashrafur Kabir
Department, University & Country	Saidpur Cantonment Public College, Bangladesh