

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_123497
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Associated with Deaths Among COVID-19 Patients Managed at Epidemic Treatment Centers (CTEs) in Decentralized Areas in Senegal
Type of the Article	Original article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript and the subject matter are interesting, addressing a topic that had its time, although nowadays SARS-CoV2 has greatly changed its disease characteristics, becoming less aggressive. I like the work because it documents the main complications of patients with covid-19, making a brief description of the clinical features. the main weaknesses are related to the relevance of the subject, 3 years later in a new world epidemiological scenario, where both clinical features and outcomes have changed.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>yes, remove abbreviations to make it better</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>in the methods section, it is not necessary to go into much detail, the description will be well described in the main manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is appropriate</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The retrospective type of design contains a patient selection bias, which may be mitigated by increasing the number of subjects or, if not correctable, should be acknowledged in the limitations section of the discussion. The type of variables chosen from the data obtained from the registries does not allow us to understand the composition of confounding variables that may be influencing the final result, a situation that should also be mentioned in the limitations section. The multivariate analysis performed and the description in tables with their due corrections is adequate.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> =</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Raul Copana-Olmos</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Mayor de San Simon, Bolivia</b>