

# PREVALENCE AND INTENSITY OF FASCIOLIASIS IN CATTLE SLAUGHTERED AT CENTRAL ABATTOIR GOMBE, GOMBE STATE, NIGERIA

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Fascioliasis is one of the most prevalent and economically significant parasitic illnesses of domestic animals, particularly cattle, sheep, goats, and man. It is particularly widespread in countries with heavy cattle production especially places with low interest in vector-related diseases. Therefore, the study was designed to investigate the prevalence of this parasitic disease among the cattle slaughtered in central abattoir Gombe, Gombe state of Nigeria.

**Materials and methods:** A total of three hundred and eighty-four (384) faecal and gall bladder samples were collected at Gombe municipal abattoir covering two seasons, from June to October 2022 during the rainy season and November, to May, 2023 during the dry season and examined using sedimentation techniques to detect *Fasciola* spp eggs, The number of eggs were counted and expressed per grams of faeces.

**Results:** It was observed that 72.1% were positive to fascioliasis out of the 384 slaughtered cattle examined. The prevalence of the infection based on the sex of the cattle was found to be lowest in male 34.3% and highest in Female 65.7%, although not statistically significant ( $p > 0.05$ ). The percentage of fascioliasis depending on the breed of the cattle also indicates high prevalence in white Fulani 69.3%, followed by Red Bororo 16.7% and least in Sokoto Gudali 14.0% with insignificant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ). Prevalence of fascioliasis based on the estimated age of animals was not statistically significant however; animals aged 2-4 years had the highest percentage 57.1%, followed by  $\geq 5$  years 29.2% and least in  $\leq 1$  year 13.7%. The prevalence was higher in dry season 72.9% than rainy season 27.1%, the analysis implied that there was a strong association between infection and season. Three categories of fascioliasis intensity were based on egg per gram of faeces (epg), 70.03% of the cattle sampled were lightly infected, with moderate infection in 20.57% and 9.38% with heavy infection.

**Conclusion:** The study concludes that fascioliasis among cattle in Gombe state was high causing great economic loss to the people rearing the animals. Public enlightenment to the farmers/rarer on the importance of regular de-worming and watering of their animals using clean water for their economic benefit and health conditions of their consumer are recommended with the aim of maximizing the welfare of the farmer, improvement on profit of meat sellers as well as health condition of the consumers. Reduction of worm burden through chemotherapy and eradication should be encouraged.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cattle (*Bostaurus*) are a multipurpose animal of semi-arid and, arid areas kept for a variety of purposes such as milk, meat, wool, transport and Agricultural purposes. A part from camel no other domestic animal is able to provide as many variable services to human than cattle [1]. The most important disease of cattle is fascioliasis a vector borne helminths disease caused by *Fasciola hepatica* and *F. gigantica* with wide distribution throughout tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world [2]. Fasciolosis is an economically important parasitic snail-borne disease of ruminant and animals including cattle, goat and sheep that has public health significant due to risk of infection transmission to human. The diseases cause growth retardation, decreased milk, meat and wool production as well as liver damage in infected animals. Furthermore, humans may become infected by eating raw meat dishes made from fresh livers infected with immature

48 flukes, since early migrating flukes present in the consumed and infected liver can retain the  
49 ability to restart intra-organic migration [3]. The disease is one of the important neglected  
50 tropical diseases that have gained significant global attention in recent years as a result of its  
51 associated morbidity and socio-economic impact [4].

52 The growing popularity of the disease is driven by the high prevalence among rural herding  
53 communities in resource-poor country and their constant close association with livestock.  
54 Although the disease **fascioliasis** begins as a subclinical disease similar to some bacterial  
55 infections, causing poor milk yield (milk volume), and quality, if unattended it may lead to more  
56 **devastating** outcomes such as severe weight loss, diarrhea, swelling under the jaw and sudden  
57 death if allowed to progress [5]. It has been reported to directly affect the liver through the  
58 migratory action of flukes in ductular tracts, blood-sucking and liver damage leading to  
59 metabolic disease. The snails belonging to the general lymnaea, Amphipela, Simimnaea, Golba,  
60 Fassoria, Stagniciola and Pseudosuccinea serve as intermediate hosts, with Specific species  
61 restricted to different geographical regions of the world [6,7]. In Nigeria the most important snail  
62 is *Lymnaeanatalensis*. These intermediate hosts are found in shallow water and are capable of  
63 migrating for long distance over mud and wet pasture, thereby increasing the chances of  
64 exposure of susceptible animals [8, 9]. *Fasciola hepatica* infects more than 300 million cattle  
65 and 250 million small ruminants worldwide and together with *F. gigantica*, causes significant  
66 economic losses to global agriculture; through lost productivity such as a reduction in milk and  
67 meat yields, mortalities [10, 11]. A previous report by [12] estimated a conservative amount of  
68 over US\$ 3.2 billion per annum, as losses due to fasciolosis in production animals worldwide,  
69 which was slightly higher than the US\$ 3 billion estimated by [13] in Nigeria. According to [2],  
70 fasciolosis was limited in the past to specific and typical geographical areas, but is now  
71 widespread throughout the world. Human cases occurred occasionally but are now increasingly  
72 reported from developing countries with a higher chance of exposure to the infective fluke stage  
73 during food preparation, predominantly in Africa, China, Korea, South America, North and  
74 South Asia [14].

75 Sellers and buyers of meat in Gombe are ignorant of the danger in consuming infected livers, due  
76 to lack of public health education. The animals are reared under the free-range extensive  
77 management system. The animals range freely grazing grasses in the fields. Their feeding on  
78 grasses is supplemented with fodder particularly during the dry season when there is a scarcity of  
79 grasses. The animals usually graze on grasses and leaves near water holes, rivers, lakes and  
80 temporary flooded areas within the grazing area or range. The animals may acquire infections  
81 from such areas that are infested with metacercaria. Information on whether or not the animals  
82 are treated or dewormed against fascioliasis is unavailable. Thus, untreated or un-dewormed  
83 animals will continue to shed *Fasciola* eggs in their faeces and could serve as a source of  
84 infection to healthy animals [15].

85 Among all the livestock, ruminants, comprising sheep, goats and cattle, constitute the largest  
86 group reared by farm families in the country's agricultural system. Nigeria has an estimated  
87 population of 34.5 million goats, 22.1 million sheep and 13.9 million cattle. However, about 90  
88 per cent of the country's cattle population and 70 per cent of the sheep and goat populations are  
89 concentrated in the Northern part of the country [16]. Most studies aimed at determining of the  
90 prevalence of *Fasciola* spp. conducted in Nigeria: [15,17] in northern Uganda, and [18] in South  
91 Africa, have been based on examination of the liver at post-mortem. Hence, comparatively,  
92 fewer reports exist on the detection of *Fasciola* by coprology, the traditional detection method in  
93 the laboratory, than by post-mortem examination in the abattoir. The exact prevalence of  
94 fasciolosis is most likely underestimated due to the lack of comprehensive epidemiological

95 surveys performed in potentially endemic areas. Furthermore, in some areas where reports exist,  
96 there is a time lag of up to a decade or more, thereby making such reports obsolete and probably  
97 not in tune with current realities, hence the need for this study in this area.

98 The present study investigated the occurrence of cattle fascioliasis using parasitological  
99 (microscopic) identification techniques. The findings have been properly recorded and will be  
100 forwarded to the appropriate channel for planned control strategies. The study was limited to  
101 cattle brought to the abattoir however were often supplemented from cattle markets within the  
102 State. The aim of this study is to determine the prevalence and intensity of *Fasciola* species, based  
103 on sex, age, breed, and season, among cattle slaughtered at Gombe central abattoir, by detecting  
104 *fasciola* eggs in bile and stool samples.

## 105 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 106 2.1 Study area

107 The study was conducted at the central abattoir of Gombe metropolis located in the North East  
108 region of the Country. The abattoir is the major abattoir in Gombe metropolis slaughtering an  
109 average of 100% cattle a day. Gombe State is located between latitudes 10°16', and 6°00'N and  
110 longitude 11°09'E. The climate of Gombe is characterized by a cool dry (Harmattan) season with  
111 minimum temperature of about 23.48°C from December -February, a hot dry season with annual  
112 maximum temperature of about 35.39°C from March- May and warm wet season from June  
113 September, a less marked season after rains during the months of October to November,  
114 characterized by decreased rainfall and gradual lowering of temperature [15]. Gombe State has  
115 two main vegetation zones. The Guinea Savannah zone in the southern part of the State and the  
116 Sudan Savannah zone in the northern part (Figure 1).



117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130 **Figure 1: Map of Nigeria showing the location of the study area**

### 131 2.2 Ethical approval

132 The study protocol was conducted with the ethical approval of the Animal Ethical committee  
133 (ACE) of the National Veterinary Research Institute (NVRI), Vom, (ACE/20/83/20), and also  
134 with the full approval of authorities in the abattoir.

135 **2.3 Study design and selection of cattle**

136 The survey of *Fasciolasp* in cattle slaughtered in the abattoir was carried out between February  
137 2023- January2024. A total of 384 cattle were selected and tagged from the lots prepared for  
138 daily slaughter. The samples cut across both sexes and different breeds of cattle that include  
139 while Fulani, SokotoGudali and red Bororo.

140 **2.4 Study population.**

141 The Study was conducted on naturally suspected, infected and healthy cattle meant for slaughter  
142 in the main abattoir of the State capital. Most of the cattle Slaughtered in this abattoir were from  
143 Ngalda, Leggal, Gombe, Dukku and Bayo, however some were often supplemented from the  
144 rural cattle market within the state. The ages of the sample animals were estimated as described  
145 by [19]. Cattle  $\leq 1$  year were classified as young, 2-4 years adult while  $\geq 5$  years age were  
146 regarded as older. Breeds identification was done according to the identification keys as provided  
147 by [20] for traditional livestock breeds of West Africa. Similarly, the sexes were identified on the  
148 appearance of the external genitalia, as described by [21].

149 **2.5 Sample size determination**

150 The sample size was determined according to the number of animals presented for slaughter  
151 during the study period. The number of samples collected was determined using the formula of  
152 [22] with previous prevalence of 50% [15] was used in calculating the sample size.

153  $N = Z^2 P (1-P) / d^2$

154 Where:

155 N=Sample size

156 Z=2 statistics for a level of confidence,

157 P= expected prevalence or proportion (50%)

158 D=Precision (5%, d=0.05)

159 Z Statistics (2): At a confidence level of 95% is 1.96

160 Therefore,  $N = 3.842 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \div 0.0025$

161  $= 0.9604 \div 0.0025$

162  $= 384.16$

163 Hence = 384 to the nearest whole number

164 **3.6 Sample collection and transportation**

165 Prior to sampling the abattoir was visited in order to make preliminary arrangements toward  
166 collection of samples.

167 A total of three hundred and eighty-four (384) of fecal and gall bladder sample were collected at  
168 Gombe municipal abattoir covering two seasons from June to October 2022 during the rainy  
169 season and November, to May, 2023 during the dry season. Convenient sampling technique was  
170 used for the sampling and about 2g of faecal sample was collected directly from the rectum of  
171 each animal using hand (manually) with disposable hand gloves into a sample bottle for analysis.  
172 For bile collection, the whole gall bladder was removed from animal species through gentle  
173 excision from the liver using scalpel blade. Care was taken to prevent spilling of the bile from  
174 the gall bladder to the thoracic cavity of the slaughtered animal. The bile was then emptied into a  
175 suitable container and 10% formalin solution was added for preservation. The stool and bile  
176 samples were taken to Gombe state university parasitology laboratory in a cool box for analysis  
177 and identification.

178

179 **2.6 Sample Processing**

180 **2.6.1 Phenotypic detection of *Fasciola* spp. in faeces**

181 The processing was as earlier described by [8]. Four grams (4g) of faeces will be placed into  
 182 labelled test tubes containing 6 mls of distilled water, and then strained to give a suspension,  
 183 which was also strained through a tea strainer into a clean labelled Petri dish. The resultant  
 184 filtrate was poured into a test tube and one millilitre (1ml) of 10% formalin was added, after  
 185 which the suspension was allowed to stand for 5 minutes, followed by addition of diethyl ether  
 186 (1ml). The test tube containing the suspension was corked and shaken to mix, then centrifuged at  
 187 2000 g for 8 minutes, after which the supernatant was decanted, leaving a drop of it with the  
 188 sediment. Part of the sediment was placed on a glass slide and covered with cover slip, drops of  
 189 methylene blue was added and then viewed at  $\times 10$  magnification of a stereomicroscope for  
 190 *Fasciola* eggs, until the entire sediment examined and all eggs counted [23]. Prevalence was  
 191 determined by expressing the number of positive samples as a % of total sample collected. The  
 192 number of eggs counted was expressed per grams of faeces [24].

### 193 **2.6.2 Detection of *Fasciola* spp in bile**

194 Approximately 4 millilitres of the collected bile was poured into a labelled test tube and the  
 195 procedure used for faecal sample analysis was repeated, followed by addition of 1ml of 10%  
 196 formalin. After 5 minutes, diethyl-ether (1 ml) was added. The test tube containing the solution  
 197 was corked, shaken to mix, and then centrifuged at 2,000 g for 10 minutes. The supernatant was  
 198 decanted leaving few of it with the sediment. Parts of the sediment was placed on a clean glass  
 199 slide and drops of methylene blue added before being viewed under a microscope using  $\times 10$   
 200 magnifications for *Fasciola* eggs, until the entire sediment examined [23]. Prevalence was  
 201 determined by expressing the number of positive samples as a % of total samples collected. The  
 202 number of eggs counted was expressed per millilitres used [24].

### 203 **2.7 Data analysis**

204 The data were analyzed and presented using descriptive statistics such as means and tables. Chi-  
 205 square was used to establish association between fluke infection and ANOVA to determine the  
 206 significant of difference in mean distribution of the flukes between the variables.

207

## 208 **RESULTS**

### 209 **3.1 Prevalence of Fascioliasis based on location of cattle studied**

210 Among the five different locations examined in this study, the highest prevalence of *Fasciola* spp  
 211 was recorded in Gombe (53.8%) followed by Dukku (15.6), Ngalda (12.6%), Leggal (10.1%)  
 212 and the lowest prevalence was recorded in Bayo which had a prevalence of (7.9%). as shown in  
 213 table 1.

214 **Table 1: Distribution of Fascioliasis based on location of cattle in this study**

Location	No. Examined	No. positive	Prevalence (%)
Gombe	184	149	53.8
Dukku	67	43	15.6
Leggal	41	28	10.1
Bayo	40	22	7.9
Ngalda	52	35	12.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>100</b>

215 **p>0.05**

### 216 3.2 Prevalence of Fasciola Infections in Relation to Sex of Cattle Examined

217 Two hundred and sixty four female (264) and one hundred and twenty male (120) cattle were  
218 sampled and examined for the presence of *Fasciola* spp. Ninety five 95(34.3%) male cattle  
219 examined were infected while one hundred and eighty two (65.7%) of the female cattle examined  
220 were found to be infected with Fascioliasis as shown in table 2. The prevalence of infection  
221 among male and female cattle was found not to be statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ).

222 **Table 2: Prevalence of Fascioliasis based on sex of cattle examined during the study**

Sex	No. Examined (n=384)	No. Positive	Prevalence (%)
Male	120	95	34.3
Female	264	182	65.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>100</b>

223  $p>0.05$

### 224 4.3 Prevalence of Fascioliasis based on age of cattle examined

225 Age prevalence among cattle examined in this study showed that, the prevalence of *Fasciolasp*  
226 was higher in adult cattle age 2-4 years (57.1%) and the lowest prevalence was recorded in  
227 young cattle age less than 1 year  $\leq 1$  (13.7%). While older cattle age  $\geq 5$  had a prevalence of  
228 (29.2%) as shown in table 3. The prevalence of infection based on the ages of cattle examined  
229 was found not to be statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ).

230 **Table 3: Distribution of Fascioliasis based on age of cattle in this study**

Age (years)	No. Examined (n=384)	No. Positive	Prevalence (%)
$\leq 1$	56	38	13.7
2-4	196	158	57.1
$\geq 5$	132	81	29.2
<b>Total</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>100</b>

231  $p>0.05$

### 232 3.4 Prevalence of Fascioliasis based on breed of cattle in the study

233 Among the three different cattle breeds examined in this study, the prevalence of *Fasciolasp*  
234 was highest in White Fulani (69.3%) followed by Red Bororo (16.7%) and the lowest prevalence  
235 was recorded in SokotoGudali breed which had a prevalence of 14.0%. as shown in table 4.

236

237 **Table 4: Distribution of Fascioliasis based on breed of cattle**

Breed	No. Examined	No. Positive	Prevalence (%)
-------	--------------	--------------	----------------

	(n=384)		
White Fulani	272	192	69.3
Red Bororo	65	46	16.7
Sokotogudali	47	39	14.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>100</b>

238 p>0.05

#### 239 4. 5 Prevalence of fascioliasis based on body condition of cattle in the study

240 Prevalence among body condition of the cattle examined in this study showed that, the  
 241 prevalence of Fasciolaspp was higher in cattle with poor body condition (44.1%) and the lowest  
 242 prevalence was recorded in good body condition (16.6%). while cattewith moderate body  
 243 condition had a prevalence of (39.3%) as shown in table 5. The prevalence of infection based on  
 244 the ages of cattle examined was found to be statistically significant (p<0.05)

245 **Table 5:Distribution of fascioliasis based on body condition of cattle studied**

Body condition	No. examined	No. infected	Prevalence (%)
Poor	130	122	44.1
Fair	143	109	39.3
Good	111	46	16.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>100</b>

246 (p<0.05)

#### 247 3.6 Seasonal variation of infection among cattle

248 Distribution of infection with Fascioliasis based on the months in which samples were collected  
 249 shows that prevalence was higher in rainy (72.9%) season than dry season (27.1%) as shown in  
 250 table 6.

251 **Table 6: Seasonal variation of infection among cattle**

Season	No.Examined	No.positive	Prevalence (%)
Dry	115	75	27.1
Rainy	269	202	72.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>100</b>

252 p<0.05.

253

#### 254 3.6: Intensity of infection with *Fasciola* spp. among infected cattle from Gombe state

255 Three categories of fasciolosis infection intensity were based on epg. 194 (70.03%) of the 277  
 256 cattle sampled were lightly infected, with moderate infection in 20.57% (57/277) and 9.38%  
 257 (26/277) with heavy infection (Table 7).

258  
 259  
 260  
 261

**Table 7: Intensity of infection with *Fasciola spp.* among infected cattle from Gombe state**

Factor	Category	Frequency	Intensity of infection, frequency (%)		
			Light	Moderate	Heavy
<b>Gender</b>	Male	95	69(72.63)	20 (21.05)	6(6.31)
	Female	182	125(68.68)	37 (20.32)	20(10.98)
<b>Age</b>	1-2 years	47	28 (59.57)	12 (25.53)	7 (14.89)
	3-5 years	162	119 (73.45)	30 (18.51)	13 (8.02)
	6 and above	68	47(69.11)	15 (22.05)	6 (8.82)
<b>Breed</b>	White Fulani	192	141 (73.43)	32 (16.66)	19(9.89)
	Red Bororo	46	30 (65.21)	13(28.26)	3(6.52)
	Sokoto gudali	39	23(58.97)	12 (30.76)	4 (10.25)
<b>Season</b>	Rainy season	202	142 (70.29)	39(19.30)	21 (10.39)
	Dry season	75	52 (69.33)	18 (24.0)	5 (6.66)

262

## 263 5. DISCUSSION

264 Fascioliasis is an infection of cattle and humans due to consumption of meat by human beings.  
 265 The total prevalence of Fasciola infections obtained in this research work on cattle slaughtered at  
 266 Gombe market abattoir from five different locations was 277(72.1%). The high prevalence  
 267 obtained in this work can be attributed to the high number of disease reservoirs (cattle, goat and  
 268 sheep) and climatic conditions of Gombe which favours the survival of the intermediate hosts,  
 269 the snail. This intermediate host prefers swampy areas with slowly moving water and small  
 270 streams which also allow sufficient moisture for the survival of the infective metacercaria. This  
 271 may not be unconnected with the fact that, north-eastern Nigeria is a zone of rampant  
 272 uncontrolled grazing, with no clean water sources for the animals except open ditches and ponds  
 273 which are factors aiding transmission.

274 High prevalence of infection may also be due to poor herd sanitary conditions, host susceptibility  
 275 to infection as a result of poor feed quality, ill-treated tributaries, careless attitude of both  
 276 herdsman and health supervisors, and lack of proper control programs, as well as poor veterinary  
 277 services in the study area against the disease. Both cattle and people may suffer substantial  
 278 economic consequences as a result of this high incidence. Such economic loss could include;  
 279 costs of anthelmintics, drenches, labour, liver condemnation during meat inspection, and  
 280 production losses owing to mortality, including reduced meat, milk, and wool output, as well as  
 281 reduced growth rate and fertility. The disease could be public health significance, causing human  
 282 fascioliasis.

283 This prevalence was generally high and approximately agrees with findings from similar studies  
284 by [25] who recorded a prevalence of 70% among cattle in the study performed at Yenagoa,  
285 Bayelsa state of Nigeria and 80% prevalence rate reported from cows slaughtered at Maiduguri,  
286 north-eastern Nigeria. Also study by [26] at Kampala City Abattoir, Central Uganda reported a  
287 prevalence of 84%. Despite several studies reporting high rates of prevalence, low prevalence  
288 was reported in several other studies as well. For example, low prevalence of 29.8% was  
289 reported by [27] in Bauchi, 18.0% was reported by [28] in Cameroon.

290 A prevalence of 34.3% and 65.7% was recorded for male and female cattle respectively. The  
291 distribution of the illness by sex indicated that female cattle were considerably more infected  
292 with Fascioliasis than male cattle in this investigation. This might be because more female  
293 animals were slaughtered at the slaughterhouse or because more females were sampled than  
294 males. Another reason for the discrepancy might be because females stay in the herd longer (for  
295 reproduction, breeding, and milk production), resulting in a larger illness load. The result is  
296 consistent with findings from research by [29] in Kwara and [30] in Taraba of Nigeria. However,  
297 this finding conflicts with the findings of [15, 31] in Nigeria, who found greater prevalence in  
298 males than females.

299 Adult cattle had a larger number of instances than younger animals, according to the age  
300 distribution of the illness. However, chi-square statistical analysis for significance ( $P > 0.05$ )  
301 revealed that infection rate and age have no relationship. The increased incidence in adults  
302 compared to younger cattle may be due to the young animals' reduced exposure to the parasite  
303 and the type of feed they consume. Adults graze on grasses, leaves, and other flora, primarily in  
304 regulated pastures, especially along river banks, while young animals are given milk and chaff  
305 near the base of Fulani houses. During the dry season, they are also pushed from place to place in  
306 quest of lush pastures and water. In permanent water bodies, there is frequently a significant  
307 concentration of snail intermediate hosts, which can pollute the water and neighboring flora with  
308 encysted metacercariae. Also most of the cattle slaughtered at the abattoir are adults, while the  
309 young ones are being retained for fattening to adult size before slaughtering. This finding is  
310 consistent with [32] in Maiduguri, [33] in Adamawa and [34] in Bauchi. However, this  
311 conclusion conflicts with [35] findings in South Africa, where they found higher infection in  
312 young animals than adult animals.

313 In this investigation, it was found that the White Fulani cattle breed had the highest prevalence of  
314 fasciolosis ( $P < 0.05$ ) compared to the other breeds studied, followed by the Red Bororo and the  
315 Sokoto Gudali cattle breeds. This suggests that some breeds are more prone to infection than  
316 others. This might be due to the large quantity of these breeds murdered in abattoirs. It is also  
317 possible that variations in genes, the environment, and human intervention are possible factors  
318 for these results. However, the management techniques used with specific cow breeds may have  
319 had an impact on the risk of exposure and subsequent transmission. This result is consistent with  
320 research from different authors in Nigeria such as [15, 33 and 36]. In contrast to the findings of  
321 this study, [37] in Birnin Kebbi Nigeria reported that prevalence was observed to be higher in  
322 Sokoto Gudali than in any other breed, while there was statistically significant association  
323 observed between prevalence and breeds of cattle slaughtered.

324 The result of the present study indicates that body condition of animal has a significant  
325 association with the occurrence of *Fasciola* infection. The prevalence was significantly higher  
326 ( $P < 0.05$ ) in poor body conditioned animals than of fair and good body condition groups. A  
327 similar observation was made by [38 and 27] in Taraba and Bauchi but is in contrast with the

328 result of [39]. Poor body conditioned animals resulting from nutritional or other health  
329 challenges are often associated with low resistance to parasitic infections; such animals when  
330 infected with liver flukes often end up with an exacerbated condition. This is because helminths  
331 infected animals give priority to the reversal of the pathophysiological consequences of  
332 parasitism over other body functions and growths. Nutrients are thus not effectively utilized for  
333 body maintenance and growth. This results in cachexia, particularly at the chronic stage of  
334 bovine fasciolosis.

335 This study found that bovine fasciolosis is more common during the wet season. The association  
336 between bovine fasciolosis and the season was statistically significant. The reason for high  
337 prevalence in the rainy season could be due to the existence of positive relationship between the  
338 prevalence of fascioliasis and environmental characteristics such as rainfall, humidity and  
339 temperature. The rainfall determines the prevalence and intensity of fluke's infection more than  
340 any other factor. Studies by [40] in Zamfara State, [34] in northern Bauchi State, and [41] in  
341 Niger State all show similar patterns, lending credence to these findings. However, [42] reported  
342 that prevalence was higher in dry season (15.3%) than rainy season (12.0%) although not  
343 statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ). Similarly, [43] found a higher frequency in Makurdi during the  
344 dry season of the year than in the rainy season.

345 The intensity of infection in this study was determined based on the total number of epg in feces,  
346 and the data revealed a low infection rate in the animals studied. The capacity of *Fasciola* spp. to  
347 survive and infect a host depends on the interaction between host and parasite factors, which are  
348 related to immunological systems [44]. The ability of the parasite to establish infection in a  
349 mammalian host depends on its ability to manipulate the host's physiological milieu by  
350 producing and releasing a complex of regulatory proteins, glycans, and microRNAs. The  
351 parasite's tegument also serves a vital function in defending it from assaults by the host immune  
352 system. The result is similar with the finding of [45] in Indonesia who found Sixty-one (92.42%)  
353 of the 66 cattle sampled were lightly infected, with moderate infection in 6.06% (4/66) and  
354 1.52% (1/66) with heavy infection.

## 355 6. CONCLUSION

356 The study concludes that fascioliasis among cattle is prevalent in Gombe state causing high  
357 economic loss to the people rearing the animals. This is due to the system of rearing in the study  
358 area extensive management which influenced the risk of fasciolosis grazing animals in swampy  
359 areas, where there is availability of snail intermediate hosts, watering animals using open water  
360 bodies as well as lack of routine deworming. Public enlightenment to the farmers/rarer on the  
361 importance of regular de-worming and watering of their animals using clean water for their  
362 economic benefit and health conditions of their consumer are recommended with the aim of  
363 maximizing the welfare of the farmer, improvement on profit of meat sellers as well as health  
364 condition of the consumers. Reduction of worm burden through chemotherapy and eradication  
365 should be encouraged.

366

367

## REFERENCE

- 368 1. Arenal A, García Y, Quesada L, Velazquez D, Sanchez D, Pena M. et al. Risk factors for  
369 the presence of *Fasciola hepatica* antibodies in bulk-milk samples and their association  
370 with milk production decreases, in Cuban dairy cattle. *BMC Vet Res.* 2018;14(1).  
371 doi:10.1186/s12917-018-1654-2

- 372 2. de Waal T. Parasites, internal: Liver flukes. In: *Encyclopedia of Dairy Sciences*. Elsevier;  
373 2016:451-458. doi:10.1016/b978-0-08-100596-5.00741-1
- 374 3. Mas-Coma S, Bargues MD, Valero MA. Human fascioliasis infection sources, their  
375 diversity, incidence factors, analytical methods and prevention measures. *Parasitology*.  
376 2018;145(13):1665-1699. doi:10.1017/S0031182018000914
- 377 4. Nyindo M, Lukambagire AH. Fascioliasis: An ongoing zoonotic trematode infection.  
378 *Biomed Res Int*. 2015;786195. doi:10.1155/2015/786195
- 379 5. Howell A, Mugisha L, Davies J, LaCourse EJ, Claridge J, Williams DJL. et al. Bovine  
380 fasciolosis at increasing altitudes: parasitological and malacological sampling on the  
381 slopes of Mount Elgon, Uganda. *Parasit Vectors*. 2012;5(1):196. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-  
382 5-196
- 383 6. Smyth JD. *Introduction to Animal Parasitology (Classic Reprint)*. Forgotten Books;  
384 2022.
- 385 7. Bhatia BB, Pathak KML, Banerjee DP. *Textbook of Veterinary Parasitology*. Kalyani  
386 Publishers; 2006:61-67.
- 387 8. Magaji AA, Ibrahim K, Salihu MD, Saulawa MA, Mohammed AA, Musawa AI.  
388 Prevalence of fascioliasis in cattle slaughtered in Sokoto metropolitan abattoir, Sokoto,  
389 Nigeria. *Adv Epidemiol*.2014:1-5. doi:10.1155/2014/247258
- 390 9. Taylor MA, Coop RL, Wall RL. *Veterinary Parasitology*. 4th ed. (Taylor MA, Coop RL,  
391 Wall RL, eds.). Wiley-Blackwell; 2015. doi:10.1002/9781119073680
- 392 10. Mas-Coma S, Bargues MD, Valero MA. Fascioliasis and other plant-borne trematode  
393 zoonoses. *Int J Parasitol*. 2005;35(11-12):1255-1278. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.07.010
- 394 11. Nyirenda SS, Sakala M, Moonde L, et al. Prevalence of bovine fascioliasis and economic  
395 impact associated with liver condemnation in abattoirs in Mongu district of Zambia.  
396 *BMC Vet Res*. 2019;15(1):33. doi:10.1186/s12917-019-1777-0
- 397 12. Mehmood K, Zhang H, Sabir AJ, et al. A review on epidemiology, global prevalence and  
398 economical losses of fasciolosis in ruminants. *Microb Pathog*. 2017;109:253-262.  
399 doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2017.06.006
- 400 13. Elelu N, Eisler MC. A review of bovine fasciolosis and other trematode infections in  
401 Nigeria. *J. Helminthol*. 2018;92(2):128-141. doi:10.1017/s0022149x17000402
- 402 14. Zerna G, Spithill TW, Beddoe T. Current status for controlling the overlooked Caprine  
403 fasciolosis. *Animals (Basel)*. 2021;11(6):1819. doi:10.3390/ani11061819
- 404 15. Adang LK, Kela SL, Kashere MB. Fascioliasis in cattle slaughtered at Gombe abattoir,  
405 Nigeria. *Int J Biol Chem Sci*. 2015;9(2):783. doi:10.4314/ijbcs.v9i2.18
- 406 16. Lawal-Adebowale OA. Dynamics of ruminant livestock management in the context of  
407 the Nigerian agricultural system. In: *Livestock Production*. InTech; 2012.  
408 doi:10.5772/52923
- 409 17. Opio LG, Abdelfattah EM, Terry J, Odongo S, Okello E. Prevalence of fascioliasis and  
410 associated economic losses in cattle slaughtered at lira municipality abattoir in northern  
411 Uganda. *Animals (Basel)*. 2021;11(3):681. doi:10.3390/ani11030681
- 412 18. Mpisana Z, Jaja IF, Byaruhanga C, Marufu MC. Body condition scores, fluke intensity,  
413 liver pathology, and carcass quality of different dairy cattle genotypes infected with  
414 *Fasciola* species at high throughput abattoirs in South Africa. *Parasitol Res*.  
415 2022;121(6):1671-1682. doi:10.1007/s00436-022-07504-9
- 416 19. Chibuzo GA. *Ruminant Dissection Guide: A Regional Approach in Goats*. Beth-Bekka  
417 Academic Publishers. 2006:59-60.

- 418 20. Anderson N, Luong TT, Vo NG, Bui KL, Smooker PM, Spithill TW. The sensitivity and  
419 specificity of two methods for detecting *Fasciola* infections in cattle. *Vet Parasitol.*  
420 1999;83(1):15-24. doi:10.1016/s0304-4017(99)00026-6
- 421 21. Thrusfield M, Christley R. *Veterinary Epidemiology*. 4th ed. John Wiley & Sons; 2018.  
422 doi:10.1002/9781118280249
- 423 22. Ortiz P, Cabrera M, Jave J, Claxton J, Williams D. Human fascioliasis:Prevalence and  
424 treatment in a rural area of Peru. *The Infectious Disease Review - Microbes of man,*  
425 *animals and the environment*. 2000;2:42-46.
- 426 23. Cheesbrough M. *District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries: Part 1*. 2nd ed.  
427 Cambridge University Press; 2019. doi:10.1017/cbo9780511581304
- 428 24. Gbeghebo AJ, Dominic AA, Sarah SE, Prevalence of fascioliasis among cattle and goats  
429 slaughtered in abattoirs within Sagbama community and Yenagoa metropolis, Bayelsa  
430 State. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Bio-Medical Science*. 2023;03(06).  
431 doi:10.47191/ijpbms/v3-i6-06
- 432 25. Joan N, Stephen M, Bashir M, et al. Prevalence and economic impact of bovine  
433 fasciolosis at Kampala city abattoir, central Uganda. *Br Microbiol Res J*. 2015;7(3):109-  
434 117. doi:10.9734/bmrj/2015/15274
- 435 26. Karshima SN, Maikai BV, Kwaga JKP. Helminths of veterinary and zoonotic importance  
436 in Nigerian ruminants: a 46-year meta-analysis (1970–2016) of their prevalence and  
437 distribution. *Infect Dis Poverty*. 2018;7(1). doi:10.1186/s40249-018-0438-z
- 438 27. Takang EE, LeBreton M, Ayuk CE, MacLeod ET. A socio-economic study of *Fasciola*  
439 infections in cattle and sheep at the Etoudi slaughterhouse, Yaoundé, Cameroon. *J*  
440 *Helminthol*. 2019;94(e92):e92. doi:10.1017/S0022149X19000890
- 441 28. Elelu N, Ambali A, Coles GC, Eisler MC. Cross-sectional study of *Fasciola gigantica* and  
442 other trematode infections of cattle in Edu Local Government Area, Kwara State, north-  
443 central Nigeria. *Parasit Vect*. 2016;9(1). doi:10.1186/s13071-016-1737-5
- 444 29. Obialigwe TF, Pindar HM, Uko IB, Ekechukwu KC. Prevalence of bovine fasciolosis in  
445 Jalingo abattoir, Taraba State, Nigeria. *Microbes Infect Dis*. 2024;5(2):830-839.
- 446 30. Eze NC, Briggs AA. Prevalence of Fascioliasis and histopathology of the liver in cattle  
447 slaughtered in Port Harcourt Abattoir, Rivers state Nigeria. *World News of Natural*  
448 *Sciences*. 2018;16:105-116.
- 449 31. Mbaya AW, Shingu P, Luka J. A retrospective study on the prevalence of *Fasciola*  
450 infection in sheep and goats at slaughter and associated economic losses from  
451 condemnation of infected liver in Maiduguri abattoir, Nigeria. *Niger Vet J*. 2011;31(3).  
452 doi:10.4314/nvj.v31i3.68970
- 453 32. Ardo MB, Aliyara YH. Prevalence of fasciolosis in small ruminants slaughtered at Yola  
454 modern abattoir, Adamawa state, Nigeria. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*.  
455 2014;7(2):783-792.
- 456 33. Isah UM. Studies on the prevalence of fascioliasis among ruminant animals in northern  
457 Bauchi state, north-eastern Nigeria. *Parasite Epidemiol Control*. 2019;5(e00090):e00090.  
458 doi:10.1016/j.parepi.2019.e00090
- 459 34. Jaja IF, Mushonga B, Green E, Muchenje V. Financial loss estimation of bovine  
460 fasciolosis in slaughtered cattle in South Africa. *Parasite Epidemiol Control*.  
461 2017;2(4):27-34. doi:10.1016/j.parepi.2017.10.001
- 462 35. Alaba A, Praise O, Olayiwola J. Prevalence of *Fasciola* Infection in Cattle-Ready-for -  
463 Slaughtering at some Abattoirs in Oyo. *Appl. Sci. Biotechnol Journal for Advanced*  
464 *Research*. 2023;(2):11-17.

- 465 36. Zaki K, Mahmuda A, Yakubu Y, et al. Prevalence of Fasciola species from cattle  
466 slaughtered at Birnin Kebbi Modern Abattoir. *International Journal of Science for Global*  
467 *Sustainability*. 2023;9(1):6. doi:10.57233/ijsgs.v9i1.420
- 468 37. Shinggu PA, Olufemi OT, Nwuku JA, Baba-Onoja EBT, Iyawa PD. Liver flukes egg  
469 infection and associated risk factors in White Fulani Cattle slaughtered in Wukari,  
470 southern Taraba State, Nigeria. *Adv. Prev. Med.*2019:2671620.  
471 doi:10.1155/2019/2671620
- 472 38. Pfukenyi DM, Mukaratirwa S. A retrospective study of the prevalence and seasonal  
473 variation of Fasciola gigantica in cattle slaughtered in the major abattoirs of Zimbabwe  
474 between 1990 and 1999. *J Vet Res*. 2004;71(3):181-187. doi:10.4102/ojvr.v71i3.258
- 475 39. Ahmad I, Yakubu Y, Chafe UM, Bolajoko BM, Muhammad U. Prevalence of fasciolosis  
476 (Liver flukes) infection in cattle in Zamfara, Nigeria: A slaughterhouse surveillance data  
477 utilizing post-mortem examination. *Vet. Parasitol.: Regional Studies and Reports*.  
478 2020;22
- 479 40. Yatswako S, Alhaji NB. Survey of bovine fasciolosis burdens in trade cattle slaughtered  
480 at abattoirs in North-central Nigeria: The associated predisposing factors and economic  
481 implication. *Parasite Epidemiol. Control*. 2017;2(2):30-39.
- 482 41. Njoko-Tony RF. Bovine fasciolosis among slaughtered cattle in selected abattoirs in Imo  
483 State, Nigeria. *Nig. World Rural Obs*. 2011;3(1):59-63.
- 484 42. Ejeh EF, Paul BT, Lawan FA, Lawal JR, Ejeh SA, Hambali IU. Seasonal prevalence of  
485 bovine fasciolosis and its direct economic losses (del) due to liver condemnation at  
486 Makurdi abattoirs north-central Nigeria. *Sokoto J. Vet. Sci*. 2015;13(2):50-56.
- 487 43. Kurnianto H, Ramanoon SZ, Aziz NAA, Indarjulianto S. Prevalence, risk factors, and  
488 infection intensity of fasciolosis in dairy cattle in Boyolali, Indonesia. *Vet World*.  
489 2022;15(6):1438-1448. doi:10.14202/vetworld.2022.1438-1448
- 490 44. Piedrafita D, Spithill TW, Smith RE, Raadsma HW. Improving animal and human health  
491 through understanding liver fluke immunology. *Parasite Immunol*. 2010;32(8):572-581.  
492 doi:10.1111/j.1365-3024.2010.01223.x

493