

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CA_123182</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Clinical presentation and prognosis of infective endocarditis prosthesis: about 82 cases collected in the cardiology department of the Mohammed VI university hospital center in Marrakech Morocco</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case reports</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Prosthetic valve endocarditis is the most severe form of infective carditis and associated with high morbidity and mortality and difficult to diagnose and rare disease so it is very important to study the clinical presentation and prognosis of disease for better understanding and management of disease.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title can be change to Clinical presentation and prognosis of infective endocarditis prosthesis: A retrospective study in a tertiary cardiology centre.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There are numerous mistakes in abstract and difference in data between abstract data and result section data.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There are many typing and numerical errors and difference in data provided in results and in abstract. But this study is seems scientifically robust and design and study material are good.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language/English quality of article is suitable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Manuscript send for correction in abstract for data and calculations.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sanjay Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Atal Bihari Vajpayee Medical University, India</b>