

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_120108
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF MALTED MUNG BEAN AND WATERMELON RIND FLOURS SUPPLEMENTATION ON THE NUTRIENT COMPOSITION, MICROBIOLOGICAL, PHYSICAL AND SENSORY PROPERTIES OF WHEAT FLOUR BREAD
Type of the Article	Original paper

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes This paper concentrates on the functional properties of composite flours such as malted mung bean and watermelon rind which are good adjuvants and add nutrition to the product obtained.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	Yes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is good and understandable.	
Optional/General comments	Slight corrections I am suggesting carbondioxide (Co ₂) should be written as Carbon dioxide (CO ₂) Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>) should be written as Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) Mung bean seed as (<i>Vigna radiata</i> (L.)R.Wilczek) One kilogramme (1 kg) as 1kg 4 litres as 4L Table 2. Proximate composition (%) of bread samples could be represented in line graph for better interpretation. Table 7. Sensory properties of bread samples can be better represented in radar chart	

Review Form 1.8

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vyshali P
Department, University & Country	Mount Carmel College, India