

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_124352
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF DIFFERENT TILLAGE AND ORGANIC INPUTS ON SOIL PROPERTIES AND YIELD OF COTTON IN VERTISOLS
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The present manuscript entitled, "EFFECT OF DIFFERENT TILLAGE AND ORGANIC INPUTS ON SOIL PROPERTIES AND YIELD OF COTTON IN VERTISOLS" has been implemented to optimise the yield of cotton and enhance the soil properties through appropriate tillage practise and organic manures in the semi-arid region of India. This is very crucial considering the need for cotton productivity and sustainable utilization of the soil resource in this region and other parts of the world.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article suitable. However, the word "Vertisols" should be italised as indicated within the manuscript also.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive. However, it should be improved by adding the objectives, the problem and why the research was necessary, and the contribution made in the last paragraph of the abstract.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript seems to be appropriate. However, authors should justify in the results and discussion section, for instance; Effect of tillage on what? I have already marked in the manuscript for clarity.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically correct, robust and technically sound as it identifies the suitable tillage and organic amendment or manure treatments to be adopted for optimized yield and improved soil properties.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, the references sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The overall comments are indicated within the manuscript. Authors are advised to attend all the comments.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Knight Nthebere
Department, University & Country	National University of Lesotho, Lesotho