

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_123751
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF IRON AND ZINC BIOFORTIFICATION ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF DRILLED RICE (ORYZA SATIVA L.)
Type of the Article	Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the present scientific community, agricultural organizations, students and farmers to fill the gap of productivity in the field of rice crop. The finding offers new insight into the selection of suitable doses of Iron (Fe) and Zinc (Zn) micronutrients for rice cultivation. The research findings also contribute to improving our understanding of micronutrient deficiency in humans."</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is fine, but. It also requires complete proofreading, as well as grammar and preposition checks.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes. It is recommended to add the subsection in each new section and subsection clearly.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The author should clearly mention the methodology, including the recommended dose of fertilizer for N:P:K and the net and total plot area of the research field. The repetition of the same logic related to iron and zinc should be avoided throughout the manuscript. same logic related to iron and zinc in the whole manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Yes, however, the citation style is not consistent. It is recommended to add a DOI link if possible.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language quality of the article is mostly suitable for scholarly communication. It is clear and generally well-structured, but there are occasional spelling, grammatical errors and prepositions that could be improved for better readability. I recommend thorough proofreading to refine sentence structure and enhance clarity.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Please ensure to include a sufficient literature review in the results and discussion section.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Apil Joshi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nepal</b>