

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_123751
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF IRON AND ZINC BIOFORTIFICATION ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF DRILLED RICE (ORYZA SATIVA L.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This present study was carried out to assess the potential of iron and zinc biofortification on growth and yield of drilled rice (<i>Oryza Sativa</i> L.). This article is well written, properly organized, technically sound and in scientific style.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of this manuscript is not well written, please carefully concise and it should be not more than 250 words.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The research work is technical sound, but already near similar research on the same idea has been published.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Authors should add 2024 references in the introduction and discussion section.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of manuscript is fine.	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript ID: Ms_AJSSPN_123751 Title: Influence of iron and zinc biofortification on growth and yield of drilled rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) submitted to "Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition". Comments <p>This present study was carried out to examine the potential of iron and zinc biofortification on growth and yield of drilled rice (<i>Oryza Sativa</i> L.). This article is well written, proer organized, technically sound and in well scientific style. However, manuscript needs to address certain critical points before recommending it for publication.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The expression of title is not good. Please redesign it.• The abstract of this manuscript is not well written, please carefully concise and it should be not more than 250 words and add a sentence .• Keywords should be 5.• Introduction section need to update with latest references, furthermore authors have suggested that add research gap in the introduction section.• It is requested to add a GIP map location in the materials and methods section.• Results are clearly represented.• If possible, convert Tables in to figure form. The expression of correlation table is not good it is requested to convert correlation table into correlation matrix/RDA.• Discussion section need to be updated with few latest references according to your results.• Interpretations and conclusions are sound, justified by the data and consistent with objectives.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Altaf Hussain Lahori
Department, University & Country	Sindh Madressatul Islam University, Pakistan