

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_123365
Title of the Manuscript:	The Nexus Between Poverty, Unemployment, Economic Growth, and Agriculture in Indonesia
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is apt as it is dealing with a contemporary issue that is dear to the world at this time. And, it concerns a country that is dare need of the findings of this study. I like the study because the unwinding of the concepts in this study will provide practical solutions to solving the challenges mentioned in the study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable for a contemporary research paper	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the paper is okay. The mention of the research design is what should be included	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	This is not quite so. The study will do better if it separates the items of empirical literature review as it is presented from the introduction. This will clearly bring to the fore the section for literature review that will show the theoretical literature and empirical review, including the gaps.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study chose a contemporary topic that aims to address a pressing problem in Indonesia. It captures the linkages among key variables that will help the well stated objectives of the study, that was arrived t stating clearly the statement of problem. It further provided a clear methodology to achieve the objectives. The results obtained were checked for robustness to avoid spurious results	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent, and the concern the subject matter	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is good and suitable	
Optional/General comments	Not applicable	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samuel Ochinyabo
Department, University & Country	Nigerian Institute of Social and Economic Research, Nigeria