

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_124389
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mycoplasma Pneumonia as a Rare Culprit in Acute Myocarditis</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Mycoplasma pneumoniae is common bacteria causing pneumonia. Myocarditis is unusual presentation of mycoplasma. Over all good case report to have on board. It needs further studies on causality between mycoplasma and myocarditis. This would be a good start with literature to have suspicion in clinical community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	My opinion title should be A rare case of acute myocarditis followed by Mycoplasma pneumoniae	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract over all succinct. I would advise to add more in future prospective of this article in last sentence of abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Usually it's difficult to diagnose myocarditis from any cause. Diagnosing myocarditis with EKG is difficult. In fact using cardiac MRI in this case is robust and technically proven to help with diagnosing myocarditis. This case presents the importance of broad differential while looking at patient with similar presentation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Appropriate for case reports	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Would advise to have edited by native English speaker to better sound	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is over all good case report. It has to be mentioned that myocarditis from specific cause has to be diagnosed with biopsy, which was not the case here. It is still acceptable to believe that myocarditis was from this pathology. However it is very imperative mention that part in the manuscript. I would accept with minor revision. As advised to mention this part in discussion. Image quality looks good. Discuss further on what happened to patient, how is he doing now. And how it was treated/managed. Have future prospective. Better to add how you going to have surveillance.	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ghanshyam R Patel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mercyhealth Javon Bea Hospital, USA</b>