

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_124389
Title of the Manuscript:	Mycoplasma Pneumonia as a Rare Culprit in Acute Myocarditis
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M pneumonia is a rare cause of myocarditis & most often associated with other bacteria such as C diphtheriae, staph aureus etc. • Myocarditis is best described in children & adolescents. • If not treated promptly may lead to DCM, abrupt deterioration, heart failure and death. • To reduce debilitating sequelae, prompt diagnosis & treatment is required. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Title of the article is okay. 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Addition: • M pneumonia is an atypical bacterium transmitted by respiratory droplets through close contact. • Infections occur as epidemics with spread in institutional settings including schools, military bases, camps. • M pneumonia causes inflammation of heart muscle, leading to arrhythmia and heart failure. Usually involve children & young adult. 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Subsections and structure of manuscript are appropriate. 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M pneumonia infections are often remain underdiagnosed as great portion of pt remain asymptomatic or exhibit varying presentations. • Cardiac involvement is rare & often severe. • Extrapulmonary manifestations are due to direct invasion of bacteria, an autoimmune response, vascular occlusion leading to vasculitis or thrombosis. • Macrolides & second generation tetracyclines like doxycycline are drug of choice. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ref no 6 & 11 are need to be changed. 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please check the tense. E.g, in abstract "We introduced the case of a 16 year old boy." Or "We are introducing the case of a 16 year old boy" 	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nice manuscript, please go through the tense, punctuation marks etc. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashmita Banik
Department, University & Country	Tomo Riba Institute of Health & Medical Sciences, India