

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_122543
Title of the Manuscript:	Public Health Expenditure and Gross State Domestic Product: A Regression Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The area of this research cannot be overemphasized. Health spending is imperative for nations to be more productive. Note, you have introduced the abbreviations IMR, EPW, OLS... please put the full meaning in the first instance where it is used</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title is suitable. However it would have been better if it reads: <i>Public Health Expenditure and Gross State Domestic Product in India: A Regression Analysis</i></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract is fairly good. However, it has some information that should be made clearer. Consider the following sentences "The primary states have been chosen to represent the nation, ... OLS is used in conjunction with a linear regression model to analyse the acquired data ..." Note, the abstract should make an interesting read. Consider reshaping it with emphasis on specific mentions on the findings, recommendations and conclusions. For example "<i>The paper's conclusion suggested placing greater focus on certain measures that assist low-income or impoverished families.....</i>" What are those measures? Too many unnecessary words in your Keywords, reduce them.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>The subsections and structure are fairly appropriate. However, should be distinct. The paper did not capture theories that will give impetus to the research. That should be included.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The methodology is good but in this section, no mention on the actual statistical tool used. The number of States in India used is not also mentioned, the processes and methodology for merging the data from various States not also mentioned. There is no theoretical link of the model built in literature <math>(Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PCGSDP + \beta_2 RGSDP + \mu \quad \text{---- (i)})</math>.</p> <p>Note, findings, conclusions and recommendations should be well captured. They are not distinct enough.</p>	

### Review Form 3

<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>In the reference, use the recommended referencing style. Upgrade your references to have works published in 2023 and 2024. The newest here was published in 2022.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b>  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language good for scholarly communication. But consider reading through again. Some sentences needs to be rephrased to make more meaning.	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>	The study is imperative for the health systems of not only India but other transiting economies.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Desmond Okechukwu Echeta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nigeria</b>