

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJARR_122543 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Public Health Expenditure and Gross State Domestic Product: A Regression Analysis |
| Type of Article : | Original Research Article |

PART 2:

| FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any) | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments |
|---|--|
| <p>After a thorough review, I regret to inform that the revisions have not fully addressed the concerns raised in the previous round of feedback.</p> <p>First, the writing style still does not meet the standards of academic rigor. The research gap is not clearly articulated, and it lacks a comprehensive review of the latest relevant studies. This makes it difficult to see how your research builds on or adds to the existing body of knowledge.</p> <p>The methodology section remains unclear, particularly with respect to the statistical techniques employed. In the manuscript, authors state that "Table 4 displays the findings of numerous linear models used to examine the relationship..." However, the specific technique(s) used are not explicitly mentioned, leaving readers unsure of analytical approach. Furthermore, the equations provided are not accurate or well-explained.</p> <p>Additionally, I am concerned about the limited scope of the variables you've chosen to include. The use of just two variables is not adequately justified, especially given that you have not incorporated control variables which are typically crucial in such analyses. Similarly, the correlation analysis seems incomplete, as you only consider two variables without addressing their correlation with the main dependent variable.</p> <p>The conclusion also remains underwhelming. It does not effectively summarize the findings or provide a compelling closing argument for the significance of the study. Moreover, the choice of estimation technique is not sufficiently justified, raising concerns about the robustness of the results.</p> <p>Given these persistent issues, I regret that I am unable to recommend the acceptance of manuscript at this time. Therefore, I must reject the manuscript based on the current version.</p> | |

PART 3: Objective Evaluation:

| Guideline | MARKS for this REVISED manuscript |
|---|--|
| <p>Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this REVISED manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0)</p> <p>Guideline: Accept (8-10) Revision required: (4-8) Rejected: (0-4)</p> | 2 |

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Seemab Gillani |
| Department, University & Country | Xi'an Jiaotong University, China |