

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_122039
Title of the Manuscript:	Postural Assessment and Risk Evaluation of Workers in Five Selected Textile Industries in Lagos
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important to identify the work related musculoskeletal disorders among various populations. It is appreciable that this study focused on the textile industry labours. Occupational stress on the physical aspects should be addressed so as to prevent the WRMSDs.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Postural Assessment and Risk Evaluation of Workers among selected Textile Industries in Lagos" could be better. As the number of industries included can be mentioned in the methodology section.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract started with Nigerian population, it could be in general terms like "Textile industry workers" – Obviously every worker are encountering challenges. The next it can be mentioned specifically Nigerian population (Generalized population then narrow down)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study focused on both physical factors and environmental factors which is going to impact the workers with WRMSD. But the abbreviations used in the study is varied in some instances like WRMSD in some places and WMSDS in other places. It would be better if it is used evenly.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Limitations and Recommendations were not there. It can be added, to complete the study's purpose.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manoj Abraham M
Department, University & Country	India