

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_122486
Title of the Manuscript:	ADAPTATION PRACTICES OF DAIRY FARMERS TO CLIMATE CHANGE IN COASTAL TAMIL NADU
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The attempt made by researchers is appreciable. In today's context managing the cattle by dairy farmers plays vital role in improved milk and allied production in terms of quality and quantity. What changes are required in feeding and watering during the different seasons/weathers is very much important.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract requires little more comprehension.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The researcher has attempted to trace out different aspects related to cattle management. Feeding related issues during different seasons, droughts, hot seasons, winter seasons has been discussed suitably. The adaptation practices of dairy farmers regarding mating (reproductive mechanisms and other aspects are covered in the study), feeding and sourcing of drinking water at tough times also discussed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Satisfactory	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, reasonably good.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ravi Akula
Department, University & Country	Mahatma Gandhi University, India