

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_122654</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A SURVEY OF AI METHODS FOR DETECTION OF DDoS ATTACKS ON NETWORKS</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes the research paper will be an important manuscript for the scientific community	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	A review for grammatical and spelling mistakes needs to be taken prior to publication. Abstract needs to be revised as the abstract needs to be inline with the findings of the research work <b>The first 05 (Five) lines of the abstract needs to be rewritten.</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract section should give a bird's eye view of the research work conducted by the author. <b>The related work section with title "Machine learning approaches" needs to re-written and some text mentioned is similar to already published work. The already completed works mentioned in the research paper under section C.Artificial Intelligence Approaches needs to be rewritten as the same is similar to already published work</b>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The literature review section has needs to be written in order to maintain the standards of the journal. The first paragraph of the literature review needs to be rewritten completely. Some recent references need to be added to the literature review. The references must be relevant to the research topic.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research paper seems to be plagiarised as in some section few paragraphs are similar to the already published work.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The author needs to cite the references mention with the statements of finding mentioned in the literature review section.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	A review for grammatical and spelling errors needs to be carried out before publication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<b>The sentences mentioned in different sections of the research work should be connected in order to improve the readability of the research paper.</b> References have not been cited properly, uniform method, and the author needs to add references which are relevant.  Manuscript needs to be checked using any similarity index checking software prior to publication	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mudasir M. Kirmani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sher-e-Kashmir University of agricultural Sciences &amp; Technology of Kashmir, India</b>