

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123795
Title of the Manuscript:	Entrepreneurial Behavior of Vegetables Growers in Ferozepur district of Punjab
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is very important for scientific community because the study is on the entrepreneurial behaviour of vegetable growers. Especially Pakistan's backbone is agricultural sector and there is too much need to enhance study on entrepreneurial behaviour of vegetable growers. Hence proper review of literature is required to identify the research problem and draw the research objectives to solve the research problem. The researcher has failed to mention research problem, he just has mentioned objectives. Four points are more important and must be mentioned 01. Research Question 02. Research Problem 03. Research Objectives and 04. Research Hypothesis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title can be improved as "Determining the effect of entrepreneurial behaviour on performance of vegetable growers (A study of ferozpur district of Punjab)"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract must be concrete and should include three points 01. Precise statement of the problem 02. Description of research method or design and 03. The major findings and the conclusion reached.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of manuscript require improvements; scholar must revisit the whole structure and arrange sections accordingly after including missing sections i.e. conceptual model, hypothesis and plan of analysis. Scholar must see the articles he referred in his study. Methodology of article must mention the citation of questionnaire/scale adopted, plan of analysis i.e. regression & correlation to determine relationship and impact of independent variables on dependent variables, hypotheses testing to achieve objectives and citations to justify hypothesis drawn for testing. Even the research model (theoretical framework) is missing in this article. Just t-value and significance level of each question is scale does not reflect the picture of entrepreneurial behaviour of growers. Proper model and hypothesis testing is required along with justification of hypothesis results from past literature.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically manuscript is weak, because the objective to analyze factors affecting entrepreneurial behavior of vegetable growers is not tested by applying regression. Because the regression is the technique to determine the effect of independent variables on dependent variable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and recent but the uniform citation is not followed. If researcher adopted APA citation then he must cite overall paper in it, but researcher has adopted Harvard and APA simultaneously. Revise in text referencing in uniform citation.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language is good and up to the mark for quality of research publication.	
Optional/General comments	Through revision is required to make proper citations and include sections necessary for research paper. Follow the published papers patterns reviewed in literature.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayaz Ali Maitlo
Department, University & Country	The University of Larkano, Pakistan