

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123795
Title of the Manuscript:	Entrepreneurial Behavior of Vegetables Growers in Ferozepur district of Punjab
Type of the Article	Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript, "Entrepreneurial Behavior of Vegetable Growers in Ferozepur District of Punjab," may offer localized insights into the entrepreneurial tendencies of farmers in a specific region. However, its importance to the broader scientific community appears limited, as the findings may not be universally applicable or groundbreaking. Additionally, the manuscript seems to focus on a narrow demographic and might not contribute significantly to the larger discourse on agricultural entrepreneurship. As such, I would suggest that its relevance to the scientific community is modest at best.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract of the article does not seem to be comprehensive and could benefit from significant revision. It likely lacks clarity on key elements such as the research objectives, methodology, and key findings. A well-written abstract should clearly outline the purpose of the study, the methods used to collect and analyze data, and the major conclusions or implications for the field.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>No so</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The methodology may lack rigor, as it seems to overlook critical elements such as statistical analysis, limited study areas. To improve its scientific correctness, the article would require a more structured approach, with a clear theoretical framework and sound research design. As it stands, the study's scientific foundation seems weak.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :</p>	<p>The references in this manuscript may be insufficient and lack the inclusion of recent and high-impact research. To strengthen the manuscript, it would benefit from citing more studies published in high-impact factor journals, particularly those focusing on agricultural entrepreneurship, innovative farming practices, and rural economic development. Incorporating references from recent research would also help contextualize the findings within the current scientific landscape. By expanding the literature review and referencing more robust sources, the manuscript's credibility and relevance could be significantly improved.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Need major revision</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rajib Das</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>