

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123676
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Mental Stress on the Health of Young Adult Girls
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is very important for the scientific community, but it need to be changed for more precise terms as mental stress replaced with psychological stressors. Also the word health must be replaced with general health as there is scale for meauring it in more clearanc.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Not suitable: Impact of Mental Stress on the Health of Young Adult Girls Effect of Psychological Stress on the General Health of Young Adult Girls</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>-The abstract of the article is not comprehensive. -It must contains important terminology as: Background, aim, design, setting, sample, tools, results, conclusion, recommendations and contain 150 word not more. -key terms must arranged alphabetically</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Need more arrangement and elaborations.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>-Girls in adolescence stage need more study on their health and factors affecting their health. -On the scientific level it is important to study that.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p>	<p>-The references are not sufficient and little of them are old but little is recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/English quality of the article is suitable but need editing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is short and concise in important parts as tools, results, recommendations, and references.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zebeda Abdelgwad Elsherif
Department, University & Country	Tanta University, Egypt