

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123676
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Mental Stress on the Health of Young Adult Girls
Type of the Article	Descriptive study

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The manuscript addresses an issue that is a worldwide public health problem: stress</li> <li>• Good sample size for the purpose of the study</li> <li>• Effective contribution so that the scientific community can formulate stress management programs for young people, who are affected in their physical and psychological dimensions.</li> </ul>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comprehensible and appropriate abstract, addressing central issues of the study, attracting the reader's attention	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appropriate theoretical foundation</li> <li>• Good methodological description and characterization of the sample</li> <li>• Choice of appropriate statistical methods</li> <li>• Excellent presentation of the results with a good discussion and association of the findings</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are enough. They could be more up-to-date, given the number of publications on the subject of stress and its impacts	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>I congratulate the author on the manuscript, which contains a study that leads us to reflect on points that may be causing suffering to our young people and that will contribute to the development of programs or strategies to prevent stress and its physical and psychological impacts.</p> <p>I congratulate the author on the manuscript, which contains a study that leads us to reflect on points that may be causing suffering to our young people and that will contribute to the development of programs or strategies to prevent stress and its physical and psychological impacts</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ricardo Monezi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>A.C.Camargo Cancer Center, Brazil</b>