

EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT' S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor' s comments
<p>Some issue with methodology which am highlighting- Objective says impact of stress on health- How is descriptive study a justified design? Only asking one question " Whether you have stress?" is not a correct tool of measurement-this has biased the measure Author need to change objective term impact, instead use correlation between two parameters Also how were study subjects selected-purposive random sampling? This is no term in sampling procedure either its purposive or random-recheck Discussion section is missing, there is no comparison with other studies</p> <p>Is it the format followed for dissertation?</p>	<p>Authors comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The objectives have been changes as per the given suggestion. There is no use of word "impact".2. Purposive random sampling has been corrected to random sampling.3. Discussion has been added accordingly.