

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_124119
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of hardwood and semi-hardwood cuttings for rooting performance in exotic kiwifruit cultivars
Type of the Article	Research paper

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Kiwifruit is a nationally important fruit, enriched with vitamins and antioxidants. It boosts the immunity and help in improving digestion. Similarly, it is helpful in eyesight improvement, regulating blood pressure and reducing inflammation. Propagation of kiwifruit has many limitations like limited germination, seedling variability, rootstock compatibility, ploidy issues and disease susceptibility. Therefore, the manuscript is not only scientifically important but also has commercial importance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable but can be improved for example "Rooting Performance of Hardwood and Semi-Hardwood Cuttings in Exotic Kiwifruit Varieties"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	First two sentences must be removed from abstract and can be included in materials and methods section. Start abstract with few lines on importance of Kiwifruit.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Suggestions Introduction: Don't make separate paragraph for objective of the study (mentioned at end of introduction heading) Material and Methods: Root characters sub-heading must be replaced with root parameters. Also include statistical analysis. Results and Discussion: Add some recent references related to propagation by cuttings.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically the manuscript is good as author has written in proper way. Authors have added importance of fruit and clearly mentioned the objectives. However, the manuscript needs serious revision to make it properly publishable. Authors have to add some recent studies related to the subject.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	No references are not sufficient and old. Need to add some recent references. For example: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844023050235 . https://doi.org/10.30574/gscbps.2021.17.3.0348 https://ijmfmmap.in/pdf_vol7_2/vol_7_2_6.pdf https://lume.ufrgs.br/handle/10183/267205	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Need serious revision	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Haider Ali
Department, University & Country	Institute of Horticultural Sciences, University of Agriculture, Pakistan