

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_123609
Title of the Manuscript:	First report of <i>Carpophilus dimidiatus</i> Fabricius (Nitidulidae: Coleoptera) in stored groundnut and field maize from West Bengal
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article provides the first report of <i>Carpophilus dimidiatus</i> in stored groundnut and field maize from West Bengal. It also provides the diagnosed characteristics of <i>C. dimidiatus</i> , and the 16S sequence of this species, which is very helpful for related research in the future.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable for this article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, only some minute corrections need to be done.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Please combine the "Background of this work" section into the "Introduction" section.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author provides morphological and molecular data to identify the beetles, which is robust for species identification. The information regarding the damage caused by the beetles are also very detailed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality of this article needs to be edited by a native English speaker.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yu-Hsiang Ho
Department, University & Country	The University of Sydney, Taiwan