

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_123609</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>First report of <i>Carpophilus dimidiatus</i> Fabricius (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae) in stored groundnut and field maize from West Bengal</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	I find the article interesting and with potential to be published.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	First report of <i>Carpophilus dimidiatus</i> (Fabricius, 1792) (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae) in stored groundnut and field maize from West Bengal	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The summary seems fine to me, I just changed the keywords in alphabetical order	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	If I consider it appropriate, the article is thus well understood.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript provides new scientific knowledge and its structure and development are based on the scientific method.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	I think some of the references could be updated if possible.	
Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	I consider that the manuscript complies with English, it is not poorly written from my perspective.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Oscar Giovanni Gutiérrez-Cárdenas</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad de La Ciénega del Estado de Michoacán de Ocampo, Mexico</b>