

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_124189
Title of the Manuscript:	The pursuit of doctoral studies in an African context
Type of the Article	Review Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The pursuit of doctoral studies in an African context plays a crucial role in shaping the scientific community by fostering critical thinking, innovative research, and the development of local expertise. It allows researchers to address issues unique to a certain region, adding insightful commentary to conversations throughout the world. Additionally, doctoral research in Africa promotes the growth of academic institutions and encourages knowledge dissemination, which can bridge the gap between theory and practice in various fields. Personally, I appreciate the manuscript for its insightful analysis of how prioritizing doctoral studies can empower emerging scientists and researchers in Africa. However, I believe it could benefit from further exploration of the barriers faced by potential doctoral students in the region.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract needs to be revised. I invite you to provide your insights regarding other aspects that are missing or could be elaborated upon to enhance clarity and impact. I have already mention those missed part in your original manuscript. Please share your recommendations for improving this section. Be precise but relevant.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The research presented is commendable for its well-structured methodology, which effectively supports the study's aims through comprehensive data collection and analysis, thereby enhancing the credibility and replicability of the results. The authors have ensured the validity of their findings by utilizing reliable sources and adhering to best practices in academic research, which underscores the rigor of their investigation. Additionally, the theoretical frameworks employed are robust and well-articulated, providing a nuanced understanding of the complexities surrounding doctoral studies in Africa. By integrating diverse theoretical perspectives and thoroughly referencing existing literature, the authors situate their work within the broader academic discourse, identifying gaps in knowledge and contributing valuable insights to address challenges in doctoral education. Ultimately, this research significantly enriches discussions on higher education in Africa and offers practical implications for stakeholders, policymakers, and educational institutions aiming to enhance doctoral education across the continent.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Yes, they are sufficient and recent. But there was only issue. They were arranged alphabetically. The authors should arrange them.</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	There were many grave issues regarding the English language. It took a lot of my time. Anyhow, I corrected them. Just go through the mistakes pointed and make certain changes regarding the highlighted words in red colour, not the words in green or strike red words.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Muhammad Imtiaz Shahid</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pakistan</b>