

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124242
Title of the Manuscript:	To evaluate the effect of seed priming treatments on yield and quality of wheat seed (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) under rainfed conditions.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article important if it is articulated in well manner, but it needs many improvements!	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title of the manuscript should be modified as follow "Evaluating the effect of seed priming treatments on yield and quality of wheat seed (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) under rainfed conditions	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Somewhat informative , but it needs to add what type of design the author used the way reduce error and need to update use relevant key words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Needs modification Under introduction there should be statement like(statement of the problem, gap/necessity of thee work, including the objective of the study.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As the current dynamic change in the area of climate,soil,genetic draft, utility of seed treatments is important but better to describe the relevance of the material author used things like(tap water, Biological, hormonal, chemicals) how the author evaluate the together because these might respond differently to different hours of treatments! Better if the author may separate them discuss with respective control!	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some reference should be recent and related to subject of study	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some minor correction for words like observe to observed I mentioned it on manuscript	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is valuable if it thoroughly re written as follow? Title Abstract Introduction Statement of problem and object of the study included Material and Method Result and discussion Anova table should beindicated here Summary and conclusion Acknowledgements Conflict of interest declaration should be indicated her References	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Megersa Bayisa Debelo
Department, University & Country	Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Ethiopia