Original Research Article
Hydrological Studies of Artal Sub-Watershed of Belagavi District, Karnataka

Abstract: A watershed is also a hydrological response unit and a holistic ecosystem in terms of the materials, energy and information present. The Artal sub-watershed (4d5e3b) is located in the Athani taluk of Belagavi district. It lies between $16^0$43'50" - $16^0$45'40" North latitudes and $75^0$17'30" - $75^0$23'0" East longitudes and covers an area of about 4632.04 ha. The average annual rainfall (2016 - 2021) of Artal SWS was 536 mm. The maximum of 357.3 mm precipitation is received from June to September, 108.9 mm from October to early December and the remaining 99.8 mm is received during the rest of the year. The number of rainy days (>2.5 mm) varied from 14-24 days per year. On an average, the number of rainy-day events likely to produce runoff (20 to 30 mm) are about 3 to 7 rainy days per year with moderate variation across the years. The Actual Evapotranspiration (AET) over the years 2016 - 2021 in the Artal sub-watershed varied from 297.0 to 558.1 mm. During 2016 - 2021, the average annual AET (421.0 mm) was less than the average rainfall (536.0 mm). The average AET/P ratio between 2016-2021 was about 0.74 which is less than the sustainable limit of about 0.80. During 2018 and 2020, AET/P ratio were 1.14 and 1.06, respectively, which indicates receipt of less rainfall in the years and also possibility of ground water being augmented to maintain crop water requirement. A maximum area of about 875 ha (18.9 %) requires graded bunding and 3657 ha (78.96 %) area requires contour bunding.

***Keywords**: Watershed,Evapotranspiration, Groundwater, Krigging and Soil conservation measures*

Comment [1]: ...? Full word and abbreviation. Such as The Artal sub-watershed (SWS). "Please check all manuscript."

Comment [2]: Abbreviations (4d5e3b) can be used because you have previous references.

Comment [3]: 3,657 ha

Comment [4]: The reasons for the results should be explained in addition to the reporting of the results.

Comment [5]: Evapotranspiration or Actual Evapotranspiration (Check)

Comment [6]: Soil Conservation Measures

1. INTRODUCTION

The backbone of the Indian economy is agriculture and allied fields which mostly depend upon an abundance of natural resources like rainfall, water resources, soil and forest vegetation. As these resources are limited and depleted yearly, there is an utmost need to stabilize and conserve these resources. Watershed is the geographical area which is drained by the network of streams to the common outlet. A watershed is a complex and dynamic biophysical system which is identified as planning and management unit. A watershed is also a hydrological response unit and a holistic ecosystem in terms of the materials, energy and information present. Land and water are the two main resources of the watershed. The watershed not only is a useful unit for physical analyses, it can also be an appropriate socio-economic-political component for the execution of management strategies. In essence, a watershed is a basic organizing unit to manage resources [1].

Unplanned and uncontrolled use of resources results in deterioration of watershed which is further aggravated by human interventions. The population residing in the watershed is one of the most important assets of that watershed and the condition of a particular watershed depends heavily on its population. So, it is imperative to involve the people for conservational strategies actively involving proper management and execution of the watershed resources. The planning and management of watershed is done to accomplish the task related to overall development of watershed, which may be in respect of water quality and quantity improvement, management of ecosystem, enrichment of socio-economic status of the watershed inhabitants, enhancing the employment opportunity for the people and selection of most appropriate cropping pattern etc., [2].

Watershed management is the balanced exploitation of terrestrial and aquatic resources for acquisition of optimal production with petite vulnerability to the natural assets. It basically adopts the rehearsal of soil and water conservational strategies in the watershed, for example, appropriate exploitation of the land, defensive measures of land against anthropogenic pressures, enhancement and management of soil fertility, water conservation

UNDER PEER REVIEW

for irrigational practices, proper supervision of local water supplies for drainage, protection against flash floods and reduction in runoff and soil erosion, and also escalating the production from all the existing land use patterns. Watershed level hydrological studies are essential to soil and water resources evaluation, improvement and management. At the field scale, hydrologic studies are engaged for devising and designing of soil conservation practices [3], management of irrigation water, water quality evaluation and water supply availability etc, [3]. Hydrological studies are important tools to comprehend the hydrological behaviour of the watersheds. Hence the hydrological studies have been taken up at the Artal sub-watershed (4d5e3b), is located in the Athani taluk of Belagavi district, Karnataka.

2. METHODOLOGY OF HYDROLOGICAL STUDIES

2.1 Location and Extent

The Artal sub-watershed is located in the south-eastern part of Karnataka in Athani taluk and Belagavi district (Fig 1.). It lies between 16043'50" – 16045'40" North latitudes and 75017'30" – 75023'0" East longitudes and covers an area of about 4632.04 ha. It is about 30 km from Athani town and is bounded by Halahalli on the east, Savalagi & Thungala on the south, Badagi & Aigali on the west and Thelasanga on the northern side of the subwatershed.



Fig. 1. Location map of Artal Sub-watershed

2.2 Geology

Complex geological formations can be observed in the district. The Schist and Banded ferruginous quartzite, the peninsular gneiss by granite and gneissic granites, the Kaladgi formations, sandstone, quartzite, shale and limestone and dolomite, basalt (Deccan Trap) and the laterite formations are observed in the district. The Deccan basalt occupy large extent in the Northern part, thinning out towards South and it can be seen in Belgaum, Khanapur, Hukkeri, Chikkodi, Athani and Raibag taluks.The Khanapur taluk is enriched with varities of fire clay, clastic clay, china clay etc.

2.3 Physiography

The district is divided in to three physiographical divisions. They are: Malenaadu Tract (Western Ghat Region), Gadinaadu Tract (Border area Region) and Bayalunaadu Tract

Comment [7]: 4,632.04 ha

Comment [8]: Sub-watershed

Comment [9]: The coordinates should be clearly specified on the map.As in the example in thepicture.



Comment [10]: Artal sub-watershed (4d5e3b)

Comment [11]: china clay, etc

Comment [12]: The source or reference should be provided.

Comment [13]: (Border Area Region), and....

(Plain Land Region). The “Malenaadu” tract is the Western Ghat area, with lush green forests, sharply undulating topography, and heavy rainfall. Many 1st order streams traverse this area. There are many natural springs in this tract. The “Gadinaadu” (intermediary) tract shows medium range flat to gently rising hills, with shrubby greenery, receiving an average rainfall. The streams are of 3rd & 4th order. The “Bayalunaadu” tract shows vast, flat terrain, with flat topped barren hills. The rainfall received is less than 650 mm. The streams are very gentle.

Comment [14]: The source should be referenceor in Fig...?

2.4 Drainage

The district falls under the influence of Krishna River basin, and a small part of Khanapur taluka under the Kali River basin. The major tributary rivers of Krishana River are Ghataprabha and Malaprabha, which are supported by sub-tributaries like Markandeya, Hiranyakeshi, Doodhaganga, Vedganga, Agrani etc. The Malaprabha and Mahadai River originating in the Western Ghat of Khanapur taluka having a number of perennial springs, all along their courses. The Pandhari and Mahadai rivers in south join the Kaali River basin.

Comment [15]: Agrani, etc.

Comment [16]: in the south

Comment [17]: The source should be referenceor in Fig...?

2.5 Rainfall and Ground Water Measurement

Groundwater recharge is an important and required necessary activity in managing and developing water resource of a watershed. Rainfall is the major source of groundwater recharge. The infiltration of water is mainly governed by lithology, land use practice and elevation of the terrain. Spatial maps were prepared using ArcGIS 10.8. Month-wise data of water table was collected in artal sub watershed. The seasonal water-level fluctuations in Artal watershed have been analyzed. Rainfall data are one of the important datasets in spatial domain, controlling the water resources budget of the region. Rainfall data of last 06 years were collected from Karnataka State Natural Disaster Monitoring Centre, Govt of Karnataka.

Comment [18]: Artal sub-Watershed or use abbreviations

2.6 Point Interpolation: Kriging

Kriging is a geostatistical method for estimating values in unknown areas by considering both the distance and variation between known data points. It involves creating an estimated surface from scattered points with z- values by fitting a mathematical function to nearby points. The process includes statistical analysis, variogram modeling, surface creation, and variance exploration. Predicted values are calculated using a weighted average technique based on the relationship between samples. The search radius can be fixed or variable and generated cell values may exceed the sample range [4].

Comment [19]: Shown as equation 1

$$Z(S_0)^N = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda Z(Si)$$

Comment [20]: ...1

Where,

$Z(Si)$ = the measured value at the i th location
λ_i = an unknown weight for the measured value at the i th location
S_0 = the prediction location
N = the number of measured values

The Kriging method is an interpolation method based on principles of zero bias and minimum mean square error. It determines values for a process over an entire domain, finite-volume block or specific point using a linear combination of data values. The summation may be over an entire area or restricted region centered at the estimation point [5].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

UNDER PEER REVIEW

3.1 Rainfall Analysis and Distribution

In order to develop the rainfall indices of the Artal sub-watershed, data from the Artal rain gauge station in Athani taluk of Belagavi district was taken into account. During the year 2018 annual rainfall was deficient by 30 per cent. The district falls under hot semiarid tract of the state and is categorized as drought -prone with an average annual rainfall of 565.9 mm received in last 7 years (Table 1 and Fig 2). The maximum of 357.3 mm precipitation is received during south–west monsoon period from June to September, north-east monsoon contributes about 108.9 mm and prevails from October to early December and the remaining 99.8 mm is received during the rest of the year. The winter season is from December to February. During April and May, the temperatures reach up to 38.8°C and in December and January, the temperatures will go down to 15.1°C. Rainfall distribution is shown in Figure 3. The average monthly Potential Evapotranspiration (PET) is 139.1 mm and varies from a low of 102.4 mm in December to 191.7 mm in the month of March. The PET is higher than precipitation in all the months except September. Generally, length of growing period in Artal sub-watershed ranged from 150 to 160 days. The length of growing period begins at 21th week (which is May 2nd week)) and ends at 43rd week (which is October 3rd week). Based on the observation, farmers can schedule sowing and other agronomic practices for short duration and long duration crops.

Comment [21]: Writing in a uniform format (Figure ..... or Fig.....)

Comment [22]: Writing in a uniform format (Figure ..... or Fig.....)

Table 1. Mean monthly rainfall, PET, 0.5 PET at Athani Taluk, Belagavi District

Month	Rainfall (mm)	Temp-Max (°C)	Temp-Min (°C)	Max-RH (%)	Min-RH (%)	PET	0.5 PET
JAN	0.0	30.8	15.1	84.8	36.8	102.9	51.5
FEB	0.0	33.1	16.6	75.1	28.0	121.3	60.7
MAR	8.9	36.7	19.9	72.6	22.1	191.7	95.9
APR	29.8	38.8	22.5	80.7	22.7	171.5	85.8
MAY	61.2	37.9	23.6	88.1	30.7	177.1	88.6
JUN	76.9	33.1	22.8	94.5	54.5	146.9	73.5
JUL	93.8	30.0	22.2	95.4	65.7	151.8	75.9
AUG	56.9	29.3	21.7	96.9	66.8	146.7	73.4
SEP	129.7	30.3	21.3	97.2	60.9	114.1	57.1
OCT	93.9	31.2	20.6	93.8	51.0	139.1	69.6
NOV	11.2	31.1	18.5	87.5	44.2	103.9	52.0
DEC	3.8	30.2	16.3	87.9	43.1	102.4	51.2
Total	**565.9**					**1669.4**	**834.7**

Comment [23]: 1,669.4

UNDER PEER REVIEW



Fig. 2. Average annual rainfall at Athani Taluk, Belagavi District



Fig.3. Mean monthly rainfall, PET and 0.5 PET at Athani Taluk, Belagavi District

3.2 Rainfall Distribution

The number of rainy days (>2.5 mm) varied from 14-24 days per year. On an average the number of rainy-day events likely to produce runoff (20 to 30 mm) are about 3 to 7 rainy days per year with moderate variation across the years (Fig. 4.). The extremely high rainfall peak event days (>30mm) 3 day per year observed in the year 2017. Which helps in designing conservation and harvesting structure in a watershed.

3.3 Evapotranspiration

The Actual Evapotranspiration (AET) over the years 2016-21 in the Artal sub-watershed varied from 297.0 to 558.1 mm (Fig. 5.) During 2016-21 the average annual AET (421.0 mm) was less than the average rainfall (536.0 mm). AET was higher than rainfall during the months of January, February, March, April, August, November and December, which forced groundwater withdrawal during those months to meet crop water needs.

Comment [24]: The decimal places should be equal, use 1 or 2 places ?.

Comment [25]: Writing in a uniform format (Figure ..... or Fig.....)

Comment [26]: Evapotranspiration or Actual Evapotranspiration? Check...

Comment [27]: Evapotranspiration or Actual Evapotranspiration? Check...

Comment [28]: 2016-2021

Comment [29]: 2016-2021

UNDER PEER REVIEW



Fig.4. Distribution of Rainfall in different rainfall events



Fig.5. Actual evapotranspiration (AET) and rainfall over different years

3.4 Annual Actual Evapotranspiration

The spatial maps of reference and actual evapotranspiration describe that the difference between ET_{ref} and ET_a is less over upper, middle and lower ridges of the watershed (Fig. 6.). The ridge wise analysis in annual actual evapotranspiration shows that the extremely low (348-366 mm) values observed over upper ridge of the watershed and high (499-510 mm) amount of ETa observed over lower ridge of the watershed. Spatial variability in of actual evapotranspiration was due to soil moisture, vegetation cover and cropping system adopted in the watershed [6]. To understand the reason for the variability in ETa, the seasonal trends are calculated for the major evapotranspiration components including transpiration, bare soil evaporation, interception loss, and open water evaporation during the study period [7]. The transpiration and interception loss from the vegetation have shown a tremendous change in the evapotranspiration [8].

Comment [30]: Check decimal positionin Fig (1 or 2)

Comment [31]: Actual Evapotranspiration

Comment [32]: actual evapotranspiration (ETa)

Comment [33]: Can use ETa

Comment [34]: Can use ETa



Fig. 6. Map of the Annual Actual Evapotranspiration of Artal sub watershed

Comment [35]: sub-watershed

3.5 Evapotranspiration Index

The watershed water balance is in normal condition. For sustainability, the limit of AET/P should be below the Budyko curve for sustainable watershed from hydrological considerations. This suggests that the cropping choices and irrigation choices have to be altered to reduce the total ET (Fig.7.). The average AET/P ratio between 2016-2021 was about 0.74 which is less than the sustainable limit of about 0.80. During 2018 and 2020 AET/P ratio were 1.14 and 1.06 respectively, (Fig. 8.) which indicates receipt of less rainfall in the years and also possibility of ground water being augmented to maintain crop water requirement. To built on this and linked the Budyko's hypothesis to the complementary relationship between actual evaporation and potential evaporation [9]. The Budyko curve analytically by modelling total evaporation using simple models of interception and transpiration in combination with measurable parameters related to rainfall dynamics and storage availability obtained from remotely sensed data sources [10]. The Budyko curve has also been interpreted at a higher physical level as a possible outcome of thermodynamic optimality through the invocation of the maximum entropy production principle [11]..

3.6 Soil Texture and Infiltration Rate

Texture is an expression to indicate the coarseness or fineness of the soil as determined by the relative proportion of primary particles of sand, silt and clay. It has a direct bearing on the structure, porosity, water infiltration, adhesion and consistence. The textural classes used for LRI were used to classify and a surface soil texture map was generated. The area extent and their geographical distribution in the sub-watershed is shown in Fig 9. An area of about 840 ha (18.13%) has clay texture at the surface. The most productive lands with respect to surface soil texture are clayey soils (93.19%) with an infiltration rate of 2mm/hr that have high potential for retention and availability of water and nutrients but, have more problems of drainage, infiltration, workability and other physical problems. The sandy loam (1182 ha), clay loam (307 ha), sandy clay (634 ha) and sandy clay loam (1570 ha) texture classes occupied majority of the sub-watershed area. the soils of watershed viz.,

Comment [36]: The texture

sandy clay loam (10 mm/hr), sandy clay (14 mm/hr) and sandy loam (28 mm/hr) resulted more infiltration rate than clay (2 mm/hr) and clay loam soils (7 mm/hr). The surface soil textural class provides a guide to understanding soil-water retention and availability, nutrient holding capacity, infiltration, workability, drainage, physical and chemical behaviour, microbial activity and crop suitability [12][13][14].



Fig. 7. Seasonal Budyko curve of Artal subwatershed of karanatka



Fig .8. AET/P Ratio of Artal subwatershed of karanatka

Comment [37]: Check decimal positionin Fig (1 or 2)axis X and Y



Fig. 9. Surface soil texture and infiltration rate map of Artal sub-watershed

3.7 Ground Water Depth measurement and Krigging

Comment [38]: Measurement

Groundwater levels fluctuate naturally in response to a sequence of climatic events and to constraints imposed by hydrogeologic and topographic characteristics. The groundwater level influenced by borewell recharge, discharge, topography of land, soil texture etc. Trend analysis of water table depths indicates marked spatial variations of groundwater levels in Artal sub watershed of the study area. The mean depth of ground water observed from ground level during pre and post monsoon. During pre and monsoon the average ground water depth highest of 46-50 mbgl and lowest of 5-10 mbgl. These data indicate marked spatial variability in the distribution of wells with distinct rates of change across the different geomorphic units visible [15]. Groundwater resource of a region is one of the building blocks for balanced economic development of the area. The water table represents the groundwater reservoir, and changes in its level represent the changes in groundwater storage [16].

Comment [39]: sub-watershed, or abbreviation

The map of groundwater elevation determined by this method are presented in Fig.10 and 11**.** It shows that the highest groundwater elevation occurred in west to western part of the study area and the lowest groundwater elevation obtained in the northeastern part of the study area. The groundwater elevation gradients are higher in northern part and gradually decrease towards the southern parts and the general flow occurs from north to south [17]. The groundwater table is deep on the upstream side and shallow on the mid and valley side. This is possibly due to the flux that the water drains downslope to bring the soil moisture to the field capacity [18]. In addition, the soil depth on the upslope is shallow, which means it dries out faster than the deep soils due to evaporation; therefore, the quantity of water flowing toward the well is declining faster as compared with well in the deep soil [19].



Fig. 10. Pre monsoon Ground water depth of Artal subwatershed of Karnataka



Fig. 11. Post monsoon Ground water depth of Artal subwatershed of Karnataka

3.8 Soil and water conservation treatment plan

Comment [40]: Soil and Water Conservation Treatment Plan

For preparing soil and water conservation treatment plan for Artal sub-watershed, the land resource inventory database generated and transformed as information through series of interpretative (Thematic) maps using soil phase map as a base. A map showing soil and water conservation plan with different kinds of structures recommended has been generated which shows the spatial distribution and extent of area. A maximum area of about 875 ha

(18.9%) requires graded bunding and 3657 ha (78.96%) area requires contour bunding (Fig.12.)



Fig.12. Soil and Water Conservation Plan map of Artal sub-watershed

4. CONCLUSION

The total geographical area of the Artal sub watershed is 4632.04 ha and the sub watershed is primarily composed of loamy sand followed by sandy clay loam, sandy loam and clay. The infiltration rates within the sub watershed varied based on the type of soil and ranged from 3 to 28 mm/hr. In sub watershed the mean annual ET is lower than the mean annual rainfall. This means at the annual time scale of water budget demand side is lower than the supply side. The focus in this sub-watershed should be to develop an improved water use efficiency (WUE) in agriculture and hence efforts should focus on demand side of water use. The groundwater map shows the natural topography and prevailing conditions in the watershed are favorable for declining water table. The point recharge and farm ponds may be constructed in the lower most corner of the agricultural fields to increase the natural recharge of rain water during the monsoon period.

REFERENCES:

1. Sadeghi SHR. Watershed Management in the 21st Century. In: Yousuf, A. and Singh, MJ. (eds.) Watershed Hydrology, Management and Modelling. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis, Boca Raton, 2020: 152-162.

2. Anonymous. A Source Book for Soil and Water Conservation Measures. Foundation for Ecological Security, Anand, Gujarat, 2018: pp 1-239.

3. Wurbs, R. (1998). Dissemination of generalized water resources models in the Unites States. Water International, 1998; 23:190-198.

Comment [41]: bunding and 3,657 ha

Comment [42]: should add a "discussion section" that explains the study results, stating the reasons and causes, before the conclusion section.

Comment [43]: Artal sub-watershed is 4,632.04

Comment [44]: ,

Comment [45]: In sub-watershed, the

Comment [46]: Water Use Efficiency (WUE)

Comment [47]: This is an old study or reference. should cite newer, more current sources.

4. Mustafaa JS, Mawlood DK. Mapping groundwater levels in Erbil Basin, American Academic Scientific Research Journal for Engineering, Technology and Sciences. 2023; 93(1): 21-38.

5. Varouchakis EA, Hristopulos DT, Karatzas GP. Improving kriging of groundwater level data using nonlinear normalizing transformations - a field application, Hydrological Sciences Journal.2012; 57(7):1404-1419.

6. Alexander Samuel, Atkilt Girma, Amanuel Zenebe, Tesfaalem G. Spatio-temporal variability of evapotranspiration and crop water requirement from space, Journal of Hydrology, 2018; 567: 732-742.

7. Melloulia HJ, Van Wesemaelb B, Poesenc J, Hartmann R. Evaporation losses from bare soils as influenced by cultivation techniques in semi-arid regions, Agricultural Water Management, 2000; 42:355-369.

8. Raghavendra Prasad K, Kantha Rao B, Patra GK, Himesh S, Sheshakumar G. Annual and seasonal trends in actual evapotranspiration over different meteorological sub-divisions in India using satellite-based data, Theoretical and Applied Climatology.2023; 52:999–1017.

9. Yang D, Sun F, Liu Z, Cong Z, Lei Z. Interpreting the complementary relationship in non-humid environments based on the Budyko and Penman hypotheses, Geophysical Research Letters. 2006; 33:1-5.

10. Gerrits AMJ, Savenije HHG, Veling EJM, Pfister L. Analytical derivation of the Budyko curve based on rainfall characteristics and a simple evaporation model. Water Resources Research. 2009;45: 1-15.

11. Zhao J, Wang D, Yang H, Sivapalan M. Unifying catchment water balance models for different time scales through the maximum entropy production principle. Water Resources Research. 2016; 52 :7503–7512.

12. Christelle Basset, Majdi Abou Najm, Teamrat G, Xiaoxiao Hao, Andr´e D. How does soil structure affect water infiltration? A meta-data systematic review, Soil and Tillage Research. 2023; 226:1-15.

13. Nawaz MF, Bourri´e G, Trolard F. Soil compaction impact and modelling. a review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 2013; 33 (2) :291–309.

14.Huang J, Hartemink AE. Soil and environmental issues in sandy soils. Earth Sci. Rev. 2020; 208;103295.

15.Suneel Kumar Joshi, Sanjeev Gupta, Rajiv Sinha, Alexander LD, Shive Prakash R, Shashank S, Philippa JM, Van Dijk WM. Strongly heterogeneous patterns of groundwater depletion in Northwestern India, Journal of Hydrology.2021; 598: 126-492.

16. Raghavendra G. Groundwater fluctuation and the flow pattern in the Kamarwadi sub-basin of Bhima River. Res Rev J Eng Technol. 2013; 73: 101-108.

17. Nikroo L, Zare MK, Sepaskhah AR, Shamsi SRF. Groundwater depth and elevation interpolation by kriging methods in Mohr Basin of Fars province in Iran. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2010; 166: 387-407.

18. Addisie MB. Groundwater recharge estimation using water table fluctuation and empirical methods. H_2Open Journal.2022; 5(3):457-468.

Comment [48]: This is an old study or reference. should cite newer, more current sources.

Comment [49]: This is an old study or reference. should cite newer, more current sources.

Comment [50]: This is an old study or reference. should cite newer, more current sources.

Comment [51]: This is an old study or reference. should cite newer, more current sources.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

19. Cholo BE and Tolossa JG. Identification of groundwater recharge and fow processes inferred from stable water isotopes and hydraulic data in Bilate river watershed, Ethiopia, Hydrogeology Journal.2023; 31: 2307-2321.

UNDER PEER REVIEW