

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124168
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydrological Studies of Artal Sub-Watershed of Belagavi District, Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a study that is useful for the academic community. If there are any corrections according to the suggestions, the article can be published publicly.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The reasons for the results should be explained in addition to the reporting of the results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is appropriate in sub-sections, but new sources or references should be added, for example, research studies from the current year should not be more than 5 years old.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The content should be consistent, sources should be clearly added, the location of the results should be clearly specified and in the same format, such as the position of decimal places, the values of the X-axis and Y-axis, and discussion of the results should be added in addition to the reporting of the results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It should be revised and updated with new and more current references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Acceptable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Should be revised according to the suggestions	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Banchongsak Faksombonn</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kamphaengphet Rajabhat University, Thailand</b>