

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124117
Title of the Manuscript:	Delineation of selection criterion using correlation and path analysis in forage pearl millet (<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i> (L.) R. Br.) in North Gujarat condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript mainly focussed on identifying the component traits for the improvement of green fodder yield. The indirect selection of high yielding plants can be selected using the component traits.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, This title is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest to make corrections in the abstract as mentioned in the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the subsections are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As the genetic resources varies depends on the geographic area, the association analysis will be usefull for the location of the study. Hence, it will be useful for the forage breeders of the location for the development of high yielding forage pearl millet. However, some technical errors were found in the results and discussion part (especially the discussion regarding the association between yield and protein content). It can be revised based on the comments mentioned in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are in numbers and up to recent.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is good for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	The authors are requested to revise the manuscript as per the comments mentioned in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Surendhar A.
Department, University & Country	India