

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_123993
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study of Effectiveness in Haloperidol Versus Olanzapine in Schizophrenia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is apt as it assesses and compares the effectiveness of haloperidol and olanzapine in schizophrenia. This will influence the stakeholders of health, clinicians and other healthcare professional in the choice of antipsychotics to be administered to patients. The findings will also add to the body of knowledge showing superiority of olanzapine in managing the condition compare to that of haloperidol.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Inferential statistics and its' summary should be included	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Almost appropriate, but the section of "Consent and Ethical approval" should be placed after the method	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is a well conducted research. The study's aims, methods, results and conclusion are in agreement. However, there are some corrections to be made, such as missing information on the validation and reliability of the psychometric scale, inclusion of sample size determination, sampling technique used. Also, employing Propensity Score Matching, controlling for confounders such as age, sex and other variables, then get the Average Treatment Effect (ATE). This a powerful tool and will work well if you can also increase the sample size of the study. If the above is not possible, independent t test statistics can be employed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Additional references will be needed	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good, with few corrections, see my comments on the manuscript	
Optional/General comments	Overall, the study is a good one, addressing areas of concerns, and implementing recommendations made at the comment section of the manuscript will improve the quality of the study.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joshua Wednesday Edefo
Department, University & Country	University of Aberystwyth, United Kingdom