

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124504
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of culture filtrates of Trichoderma spp. as inducer on growth parameters of tomato (<i>Lycopersicum esculentum</i> L.) against Fusarium wilt
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work does not contain novelties; however, the use of different <i>Trichoderma</i> isolates to improve several agricultural traits / growth of tomato is interesting. The findings are something worth to be reported and published in order to validate the team's research while sharing them to the public.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It needs minor adjustment. Refer to the manuscript comment.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The article is quite comprehensive. The only lacking is that there is no isolate identification of the <i>Trichoderma</i> isolates. I did not see the species name, or at least the authors should have included the origins of those isolates.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Need to differentiate the sub titles, and sub-sub titles. Refer to the format of the journal	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work somehow meets the basal standard of reporting microorganism effects on plant growth. However, the methods are still lacking and obscure, especially the techniques on the isolation of the <i>Trichoderma</i> isolates, place of origins, and their species ID.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I have suggested in the manuscript comment for the authors to add citations/references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript needs a serious grammar check by a native English speaker. The sentences need to be improved. I suggest at least the authors use ChatGPT or Quillbot to improve the grammar and the structure of the sentences. You may also pay a certain online company such as PaperTrue to improve the English.	
Optional/General comments	There is not a single Figure included. The authors have to include figures/photographs of the <i>Trichoderma</i> isolates on PDA, and also the photos of tomato plants treated with the best treatment (T9) vs. control plant. How come there is no figure included. The acknowledgment section is also absent. Need to have this to acknowledge the funding.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amin Asyraf Tamizi
Department, University & Country	Biotechnology and Nanotechnology Research Centre, Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute, Malaysia