

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124209
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of leaf biochemical constituents of elite mulberry hybrids
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The mulberry varieties plays an important role for the overall growth and development of silkworms and is finally visible in the form of large silk production. Around 70 % of the proteins needed for silk production are directly derived from mulberry leaves and it has around 38% role in the success of sericulture industry.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, Suggested title is "Estimation of biochemical constituents in leaves of elite mulberry hybrids"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The units used for measuring the biochemical constituents should be uniform, for example if percentage is used it should be used for all parameters under study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need to add more recent references to support the manuscript.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<u>Reviewers report</u>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Needs to improve with regards to spelling, grammer. Needs good English polishing and avoid poorly written sentences. Add few sentences about significance of this research work in abstract section. Add more updated references with valid scientific reasons. Cross check all the references mentioned in the text. References have been written in capital format, rewrite it in sentence format. 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tajamul Islam
Department, University & Country	College of Temperate Sericulture, India