

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_124178</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Screening of mungbean germplasms against root-knot nematode, <i>Meloidigyne javanica</i> to find out the source of resistance</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Plant-parasitic nematodes are a major biotic factor limiting crop productivity and ultimately crop production. Besides inflicting direct losses in crop yields, plant-parasitic nematodes also play an important role in disease complexes involving other pathogens.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	No	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Appropriate	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The research carried out essentially needed in pulses Nematode one of the serious parasite it will causes drastic loss Method used was appropriate Result discussion was appropriate References were sufficient	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -	Sufficient	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chitti Bharatkumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences, India</b>