

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124173
Title of the Manuscript:	Telangana kandi 3 (TDRG 59): A promising medium duration Pigeonpea variety for Southern Zone of India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	From the farmers' point of view, this is good and worthwhile for those who cultivate pigeon peas as a sole crop. With respect to pest and disease resistance and tolerance, the crop also performs well. The breeder has taken care of all those aspects while conducting the research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the manuscript is very appropriate, relevant, and suitable for the topic.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the research article is clear and concise, and the author has ensured that there is no room for confusion.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript's subsection and structure are suitable for the research topic undertaken by the scientist.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, but some minor corrections and modifications are needed. These modifications are highlighted in pink within the manuscript. The scientific names should be in italics, which was not done in the original article. This was corrected after reviewing the introduction. Additionally, some sentences in the materials and methods chapter on page 2 need to be modified and restructured. There was a spelling error on page 3, which has been corrected and highlighted in pink. Lastly, on page 8, under the "Quality Analysis" subheading, some modifications were made to ensure the sentences are meaningful, and these have been highlighted in pink.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	He could have searched for numerous references, but he has included adequate citations for the article.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok no problem	
Optional/General comments	Manucript may be accepted for publication in your esteemed journal The manuscript is excellent and much needful to the farming community with the intention of getting good economic yield and reduced cost of cultivation	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Kirankumar
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, India