

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124173
Title of the Manuscript:	Telangana kandi 3 (TDRG 59): A promising medium duration Pigeonpea variety for Southern Zone of India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The new variety released over old varieties is very helpful in the state as well as country level for the high production and resistant or tolerant to pests and disease. The new pulse variety is also helpful in the farmers community as well as industry too for further new market development as well as good economic returns.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Provide data of damage percentage range of pests and disease in this variety over the other national and local check if possible	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Separate the data of tables year wise. Use author in the scientific names at first time as per nomenclature rules. Use statistical design method /analysis data in the tables if possible. Figure 1 is not figure but only flow chart. Remove figure no and use only as flow chart as title The title should be below the Figure.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Make the necessary corrections for scientific writing in the manuscript. e .g. scientific names should be italic. References in the script as per standard of journal. kg, lit or other data should be written as per the standard writing of scientific journals requirements and with similarity in the manuscripts.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add the new references with recent year data. Follow the correct method of writing references section as per guidelines of journal. Add page numbers and anonymous in the reports where it is used.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language should be corrected in the each topics.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Required the changes in the manuscript..	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>M V Dabhi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Anand Agricultural University, India</b>