

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123938
Title of the Manuscript:	Bio-Efficacy of Granular Insecticides against White Grub (<i>Holotrichia consanguinea</i> Blanch) in Tulip (<i>Tulipa gesneriana</i> L) under Temperate Condition of Kashmir
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript addresses a crucial pest management issue in tulip cultivation, particularly relevant for the temperate conditions of Kashmir. 2. The study design is scientifically sound, with clear objectives and robust methodologies for evaluating granular insecticides. 3. The results provide valuable data for effective white grub management, supported by strong statistical analysis. 4. Practical recommendations for tulip growers offer actionable solutions for pest control with minimal environmental impact. 5. The research contributes to sustainable floriculture practices and highlights future areas for further investigation in pest management. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Here are some constructive yet positive comments for the manuscript considering the mentioned issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The manuscript addresses an important topic and offers valuable insights; however, a careful review for spelling and grammatical errors would enhance its readability and professionalism. • Improving formatting, including appropriate spacing, will make the manuscript more visually appealing and easier to follow. • Clarification is needed on the irrigation process, particularly before and after the imposition of treatments, to ensure the method is well understood and replicable. • Despite these minor issues, the study's findings are valuable and provide useful recommendations for white grub management in tulip cultivation. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revisions	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Rajashekhar
Department, University & Country	Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, India