

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123775
Title of the Manuscript:	Frequency of ABO, Rh and Kell Blood Group among Blood Donors in Brazzaville, Republic of the Congo
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It's enclosed importance of blood transfusion and predominantly available blood group antigen present in Congo region.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title fits for current article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The comparison of female donor details not recorded in results; without that data it cannot be finalized.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and well defined the importance of blood donor and criticality on blood transfusion testing importance before donating to recipients.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813726-0.00063-5 https://doi.org/10.1177/088506668900400304 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1537-2995.2009.02137.x	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Suggest few modifications in discussion and technical writing. No uniformity in cross-reference format (Discussion section)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Praveen N M
Department, University & Country	India