

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123643
Title of the Manuscript:	SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPY OF PAPAYA MEALYBUG, PARACOCCLUS MARGINATUS WILLIAMS AND GRANARA DE WILINK (HEMIPTERA: PSEUDOCOCCIDAE) ON MULBERRY PLANT OF ASSAM
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work is important to be published because it provides relevant taxonomic information, which can be used to differentiate species in the future and better understand the biology of these species. In addition, the images are of high quality and leave no doubt about the structures that are being studied. The authors were succinct and objective with the information, making it easy to read.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Some minor suggestions for typos. Addition of the year of publication of the species and addition of a final sentence adding here if this is the first work of its kind done for these structures of this species.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors make good use of the SEM technique for structures that have not yet been studied. They achieve the required results and provide useful information that can guide future work.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Furthermore, the authors provide important information about the latest works that dealt with the morphology of this species and related species.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, clear and direct.	
Optional/General comments	The addition of other photos of the entire specimen, alive, in stereomicroscope and in SEM as suggested in the comments, are not mandatory but would greatly enhance the work and greatly increase the chances of citation.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Julio Cesar Do Carmo Vaz Santos
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Lavras, Brazil