

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123560
Title of the Manuscript:	Field efficacy of botanicals against sucking pests in pigeonpea [<i>Cajanus cajan</i> (L.) Millsp.] under organic cultivation
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As application of botanicals in crops against different pest is now considered as an important aspect related to climate and environment change, therefore this manuscript is very much essential and important. Now a day's organic and natural farming practices given more focus by the government. Hence such type of research is very much essential.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title is suitable. No alteration is needed	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is good and all fine	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Statistically it is analysed well, The interaction effects also statistically sounds good. However I am confused that in simple RBD, how can interaction effect comes ? Interaction effect only comes in FRBD. Write details about statistical method used in materials and method part.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references mentioned in the manuscript are somewhat recent . Authors must respect the 50% share of references from the last five years. And they must also respect the citation style indicated by the journal editor. Add few more.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are fewer concerns about the quality of the language used in writing the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript has the great structures for scientific communication, but it must be improved to rationalize its value.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tikendrajit Saikia
Department, University & Country	Assam Agricultural University, India