

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_124574
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and economics of rice
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript highlights the importance of nutrient management for rice. Also it is throwing light on practicability of adoption to farmers by assessing the economic impact of the study. I have this manuscript because of its novelty and practicability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is fine.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not justifying the study. Abstract should also include the objective and check for repetition of words and re-write the sentence by viewing the comments on the manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No.</li> <li>Introduction must include references rather than just writing a story.</li> <li>Objective of the study should be added to the introduction as without objective the study is totally baseless and difficult to understand</li> <li>There is no discussion section in the study, it should be added so that it justifies the study</li> </ul>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript is scientifically ok but its missing the objectives, which is the main part of a scientific study</li> <li>Also there is no discussion after the results which is not justifying the experiment</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NO</li> <li>References are not added in the introduction which is not giving a sound impact</li> <li>Also add discussion with references</li> <li>Add recent references too</li> </ul>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Many sentences need grammar improvements and spacing rechecks</li></ul>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Syeda Sana Aamir</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Pakistan</b>