

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_124259
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Maximizing Growth and Yield Components: Comparative Analysis of Surface and Subsurface Drip Irrigation in Intensively Farmed Rice Systems</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research paper</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Water being the most important natural resource a study like this which aims at improving water productivity particularly in rice-based cropping systems is very much necessary.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Details of the experiment like place and soil type can be included in the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Present paper was written following standard protocols and the experiment was executed scientifically. Study was conducted for two years and the required data was collected and presented in the paper which is essential for appropriate evaluation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References collected were sufficient and were latest.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language used in the article is appropriate	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. As mentioned in the title a brief note on the importance of growth and yield attributes can be added in the introduction.</li> <li>2. Material and methods section can be elaborated with details of data collection and certain information was missing like, Seed rate used for DSR was mentioned but for transplanting there was no mentioning.</li> <li>3. Author gets appreciation for his efforts on detailed discussion for the results with sufficient number of suitable supporting references.</li> <li>4. Conclusion was crisp and appropriate</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sheri Vaishnav</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, India</b>