

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_124201
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Grain Appearance Quality of Parental and F2 Segregating Populations of Aus Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Overall the research done is a good piece of work at the basic level. Grain Appearance Quality of Parental and F <sub>2</sub> Segregating Populations of Aus Rice were studied and the superior genotypes with desirable grain characters could be selected from transgressive segregation of F <sub>2</sub> families for the development of new varieties of fine grain rice.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Introduction is well written. Materials and methods have been described very well. Results and discussion also well written. Some of the reference needs to be corrected as per journal's format	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Grammatical and typological mistakes are there which has been highlighted in the MS. Basically the manuscript can be accepted after minor revisions as directed in the comments.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sunayana Rathi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Assam Agricultural University, India</b>