

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_124060
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Sowing Dates on Performance of Different Wheat Cultivars: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I believe that if there is hunger in any region of the world, articles that deal with improving agricultural production yields will be of utmost importance for science.</p> <p>Considering the nutritional and economic importance of the Wheat, it is important to have a review article that concentrates, in one publication, what has already been researched on the subject. This type of work accelerates the discovery of new advances by researchers carrying out experimental research in this area.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title covers the subject explored in this article, but I believe that it would be good delimitate the region of the world in which the research workies cited had be developed, because the information is restrict to the Asia and India countries.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>I think that the abstract is very clear, but it would be good cite the methodology that was adopted to find the information.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>I think the methodology part is missing. It is important to make clear how the bibliographical research that supported the construction of this article was conducted.</p> <p>In introduction, the authors discuss the relationship between the climate conditions and the results observed in the cited research work. I believe that this relationship should be used as a discussion, not as the introduction.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>I think this article was well organized, but the research methodology is lacking. Even in review articles it is important to indicate the methodology. It is necessary to inform the database and search terms that were used in the topic survey phase. It is also important to mention the criteria that were adopted to select the articles that were used, among all the others found, as an information base for constructing the review.</p> <p>The article cites references from research but does not cite references that explain the relationship between the results and its causes. The article does not explore the scientific foundations of the results.</p> <p>It would be important for the authors to explain what the climate is like in the regions mentioned in each survey in the month in which the best production results for this agricultural crop were observed. Only in this way can the reader who is not native to these regions relate the date cited with the observed result.</p> <p>Even the review articles need a conclusion. This article does not have a conclusion.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>There are many references from the last five years.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	The English is properly used in this text.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some small mistakes, like the ones that I indicate above Divergent – this word should be replaced by different world wide. - this word should be replaced by worldwide favorable - this word should be replaced by favourable	
Optional/General comments	It would be good exolain what is “C ₃ type crop”	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Esther Maria Ferreira Lucas
Department, University & Country	Centro Federal de Educação Tecnológica de Minas Gerais, Brazil