

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_124164
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Ultrasonication on Growth of Chlorella sp. and Determination of Nitrate and Phosphorus Concentration in Culture Supernatant
Type of the Article	Research

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Ultrasonication values are not specified. ❖ The work procedure of chlorophyll and macronutrient analysis is not mentioned. ❖ Instrument name is not found elsewhere. ❖ Species of chlorella is not specified. ❖ The method for algal biomass measure is not found anywhere in the article. ❖ Light intensity is not specified. ❖ Values are not valid because of it is drastically increase and drastically decrease how it possible. ❖ Reference is not in proper format 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Use scientific manner before writing.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Not appropriate because of sections are not arranged in the proper manner</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ The experiment is not designed appropriately. ❖ Methods for estimation of nutrients, biomass, and growth are not in the proper manner. ❖ Work procedure is not found. ❖ why it is possible light intensity of day and night is the same? 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Not in the correct format and the scientific very poor.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Not Suitable</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeetendra Singh
Department, University & Country	Dayalbagh Educational Institute, India