

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_124064
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Amaranthus (<i>Amaranthus</i> spp.) types in different planting systems under shade net condition during kharif season
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I find the manuscript on the response of Amaranthus types under shade net conditions particularly compelling because it explores innovative planting systems that can enhance crop productivity during the kharif season. The focus on different Amaranthus varieties highlights their adaptability and potential for improving food security. Additionally, understanding how shading influences growth can inform sustainable agricultural practices, making it relevant for both farmers and researchers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Evaluating Amaranthus (<i>Amaranthus</i> spp.) varieties in diverse planting systems under shade nets during <i>kharif</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract covers objective, methods, results and conclusion points effectively, it's likely comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concentrate on improvement in terms of grammar, spellings, and presentations. The paper needs careful English polishing since there are many typos and poorly written sentences. 2. Add some updated references in introduction 3. Support your results with suitable and updated references in discussion 4. Correct sequence number (References in 5 number) 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Haldavankar P. C, Naik H. R, Bhuvad A.V, Kapse V. D, Paruelakar Y. R and Vaidya K. P. (2020). Studies on yield and yield attributing character of amaranth (<i>Amaranthus</i> spp.) genotypes under Konkan agro-climatic conditions. <i>Int. J of Chemical Studies</i>, 8(1): 117-118. 2. Correct this reference Dabholkar, P. A. (2022). See this reference in The Pharma Innovation Journal 2022; 11(12): 4891-4894. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	-	
Optional/General comments	-	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mori Ruchitbhai Bhagvanbhai
Department, University & Country	Junagadh Agricultural University, India