

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_123806
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Postharvest Quality of Fresh Kesum Leaves ( <i>Persicaria minor</i> ) During Storage in Different Temperatures and Packaging
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its good	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	the references were not up to date	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>no</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Introduction</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The literature review is absent from your introduction.</li></ol> <p><b>Materials and Methods</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>2. In Section 2.1, the location where the samples were collected is important. Additionally, the plant samples must be properly identified and their genus confirmed by an institution, such as a university herbarium.</li></ol> <p>2.1.1. Remove "determination" from the section title.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>3. Line numbers are missing, making it difficult to refer to specific sentences.</li><li>4. What is the rationale for choosing a temperature range of 5-13 degrees Celsius?</li><li>5. References are missing for various sections. Each section should include appropriate citations.</li><li>6. In the statistical analysis section, mention that all tests were conducted in triplicate. This information does not need to be repeated in each part, so please remove the redundant statements.</li><li>7. When using abbreviations in the manuscript, they need to be defined first. For example, define LDPE and LDPP before using these abbreviations.</li></ol> <p><b>Results and Discussion</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Based on Figure 1, there is a significant difference between your samples at different temperatures. Therefore, the statement, "It was found that storage temperature and type of packaging did not affect the leaves' fresh weight during storage. There was no significant difference in leaves' fresh weight between temperature treatments and packaging types throughout the storage period," is incorrect.</li><li>2. Similarly, Figure 2 shows a significant difference between packaging types during each storage period.</li><li>3. The same issue is evident in Figures 3 and 4 regarding moisture content.</li><li>4. Clarify what you mean by "a slight significant difference" in the sentence, "However, after 28 days, there was a slight significant difference where leaves in PP packaging showed a higher pH than those in PE packaging."</li><li>5. I recommend presenting your data in table format and analyzing it both vertically and horizontally.</li><li>6. Compare your results with those from other studies.</li><li>7. Figures 15-16 lack statistical analysis.</li></ol>	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Leila Monjazeb Marvdashti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hormozgan University of Medical Science, Iran</b>