

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_123806
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Postharvest Quality of Fresh Kesum Leaves (<i>Persicaria minor</i>) During Storage in Different Temperatures and Packaging
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important in the food industry. 2. It addresses the best storage temperature 3. and determining suitable packaging. 4. It has a high contribution to the subject area. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable for publication.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive and gives important findings.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are well-written and appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript has scientific correctness as it addresses important issues concerning the food industry. 2. The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound as it addresses the gaps in knowledge. 3. It contributes to understanding of issues in drying processes in the food industry 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and in most cases are recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	The article is well written, and the presentation is of high standard and acceptable. I recommend that the manuscript be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Freddie Liswaniso Inambao
Department, University & Country	University of Kwa Zulu-Natal, South Africa